

Original

Prevalence and Predictors of Hypertension Among Police Personnel in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Rivers State, Nigeria

¹Nwankwor Simeon, ²Ojule Inumanye

¹School of Graduate Studies, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. ²Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Faculty of Clinical Science, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Corresponding author: Ojule Inumanye: Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Faculty of Clinical Science, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria: <u>Inumanye.ojule@uniport.edu.ng</u>, +2348171183099

Article history: Received 03 December 2024, Reviewed 08 March 2025, Accepted for publication 11 March 2025

Abstract

Background: Hypertension is a prevalent chronic cardiovascular condition affecting a substantial portion of the global population. It is a multi-factorial disease condition influenced by the interplay of environmental and genetic factors. The present study aimed to determine the prevalence and predictors of hypertension among police personnel in Port Harcourt Metropolis.

Methodology: A descriptive cross-sectional study. The multi-stage sampling technique was used to recruit 394 police officers from different police divisions. Data was collected with questionnaire. Blood pressure (Hypertension: $\geq 140/90$ mmHg), height and weight were measured. The data was analysed using IBM SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics were deployed. Chi-square and Mann-Whitney U-tests were utilized to ascertain the association between the study variables and hypertension. Multivariate logistic regression was used to identify the predictors of hypertension.

Results: 49.7% of police personnel were found to be hypertensive. Dietary habits and alcohol consumption were not significantly associated with hypertension (p > 0.05). However, being a current smoker, perceived stress and high body mass index were significantly associated with hypertension. Multivariate logistic regression revealed that duration of service, perceived stress, high body mass index and being male were independent predictors of hypertension.

Conclusion: Hypertension is highly prevalent among police officers in our locality. Identified predictors are long duration of service, perceived stress, high BMI and being male. Targeted interventions based on the elucidated predictors of hypertension among this occupational group would be necessary to ensure that police personnel are healthy.

Keywords: Prevalence, predictors, hypertension, police personnel

This is an open access journal and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution, Non-Commercial, ShareAlike" 4.0) -(*CC* BY-NC-SA 4.0) that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgement of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.

How to cite this article

Nwankwor S, Ojule I. Prevalence and Predictors of Hypertension Among Police Personnel in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Rivers State, Nigeria. The Nigerian Health Journal 2025; 25(1): 318 – 329. https://doi.org/10.71637/tnhj.v25i1.983





Introduction

Hypertension, a prevalent chronic condition affecting a substantial portion of the global population, has continued to rank as a significant public health concern worldwide. A 2016 epidemiological data indicated that over one billion individuals were living with hypertension globally, with projections suggesting that this number may rise to 1.6 billion by 20251,2. Researchers have highlighted the escalating prevalence of hypertension globally, reaching epidemic levels and posing a significant challenge to healthcare systems worldwide.3 There are disparities in the prevalence of hypertension observed different in regions, demographic and occupational groups. Low- and middle-income countries are hardest hit as they experience a rapid emergence of the hypertension epidemic, driven by changing demographic and lifestyle transitions 4

The prevalence of hypertension in Nigeria ranges from 10-15% based on national surveys, with some extrapolations indicating rates of 14.0% - 20.0% ⁽⁵⁾. Furthermore, the prevalence of hypertension varies across urban and rural areas, with rates ranging from 9.5% to 51.6% in urban areas and 4.8% to 43% in rural areas.⁶. A 2018 Rural -Urban survey in the same location as this present study, noted a prevalence of 27.0% for rural and 29.0% for the urban population.⁷. This variation underscores the importance of understanding the epidemiology of hypertension in different groups and settings within Nigeria especially among military and paramilitary personnel whose work often put them in combatant positions.

Police officers are among the first responders that keep law and order, pitting them in the fore-front of a high demand job with enormous risks especially with the current wave of insecurity plaguing Nigeria in the form of kidnapping, banditry and terrorism. They are exposed to diverse occupational health stressors, which may predispose them to an earlier risk of developing physical ill health like hypertension and psycho-social health challenges such as anxiety states, clinical depression, work burn-out and suicidal ideation among others. Apart from daily work-related uncertainties, other undesirable situations include encounters with dangerous situations, irregular working hours, poor welfare packages and poor remuneration.

Occupational stress has been identified as a significant factor contributing to the onset of hypertension in many

The Nigerian Health Journal, Volume 25, Issue 1 Published by The Nigerian Medical Association, Rivers State Branch. Downloaded from www.tnhjph.com Print ISSN: 0189-9287 Online ISSN: 2992-345X individuals ⁽⁸⁾ ⁽⁹⁾. This association has been observed in various occupational settings, including among military personnel ^{10–12}. The interaction of gene mutation and occupational stress has been noted to increase the risk of hypertension especially among highly stressed occupational groups such as police personnel ⁽¹⁵⁾. After controlling for risk factors such as alcohol consumption, smoking and body mass index, high levels of occupational stress continue to be linked with an increased risk of hypertension. Furthermore, the prevalence of hypertension has been studied in many military populations and there has been reports of a consistently high prevalence of stage-1 hypertension, highlighting the need for targeted interventions in these populations ^{16, 17}

A report of study conducted in Germany revealed that 14.9% of police officers were hypertensive.¹⁸ The prevalence tends to increase among police officers in developing countries. In Asia, it is reported that hypertension is common among 32.5 -37.6% of police officers.^{19,20} Reports from Africa show higher prevalences with hypertension being reported among 45% of security officers in Ghana ²¹ In Nigeria, the reports vary, but a previous study conducted in Port Harcourt metropolis, Rivers State in 2018 showed that 40.4% of police officers were hypertensive.²²

In view of recent upscaled security and economic challenges in the country and the demand on law enforcement, it calls for a need to identify the current situation of cardiovascular health among police officers in our locality. Identifying the predictors of hypertension among police officers is crucial for developing targeted interventions to mitigate the identified risk factors associated with the condition. Addressing hypertension among police officers is not only beneficial for the individual officers' health but also for the overall functioning of law enforcement agencies as healthy police officers are better equipped to perform their duties effectively, leading to improved public safety outcomes. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of hypertension and its predictors among police officers in Port Harcourt Metropolis in Rivers State, Nigeria.

Methodology

Study Design

This was a descriptive cross-sectional study among Police personnel in Port Harcourt Metropolis. Study Area: Port Harcourt Metropolis consists of two Local



Government Councils, namely: Port Harcourt City Local Government and Obio-Akpor Local Government Areas. A total of 12 police stations were selected from the two local government areas and the study included all ranks for both male and female police officers who were eligible. Police officers who have worked for at least one year in the police force were recruited into the study. However, known hypertensives before joining the police force and the officers that declined to give consent were excluded.

Sample Size Determination

A sample of size of 427 Police officers participated in the study after accounting for a 10% non-response rate. Cochran sample size formula was used to calculate the sample size as follows: $n = Z^2 pq / e^2$

Sampling Technique

Step 1 - Selection of the two local government areas in Rivers State namely: Port Harcourt City Local Government and Obio/Akpo Local Government Areas Step 2 - Sampling of six Police stations from each of the two local government areas using the balloting method of simple random sampling. There were twenty-five police stations in the metropolis.

Step 3 - personnel in each station who were willing to participate were recruited until the required sample size was reached.

Study Instruments

A structured self-administered questionnaire which contained Socio-demographic characteristics, alcohol consumption habits, Cigarette Smoking habits, Dietary habits, Family history of hypertension and prior hypertension before joining the force was used. Perceived Stress was assessed using the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) - a ten- item questionnaire built into the main questionnaire. The Scale has (0-4) options. They are; 0 = never, 1 = almost never, 2 = sometimes, 3 =fairly often, and 4=very often. To calculate the score, we had to sum the scores from all 10 items and then reverse the scores for positively worded items. Scores were changed as follows; 0= 4, 1= 3, 2= 2, 3= 1, and 4= 0. The reversed scores were added to the remaining scores. Total score ranges from 0-40. A score of 0-13 represented low stress; 14-26 was moderate stress, and 27-40 was high level stress. [11]. An electronic digital blood pressure monitor (Omron BP 5-1) was used to measure the participants' blood pressure. Blood pressure was measured two times after a 10–20-minute interval. Average of the two taken as the blood pressure reading and categorized as non-hypertensive or hypertensive using the JNC 7 cut-off of 140/90 mmHg. Respondents with systolic blood pressure (SBD) of \geq = 140 and /or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of \geq =90mmHg or already on hypertension medication were considered hypertensive.

A portable Stadiometer (Seca 213) and digital weighing scale Omron HN289) were used to measure height and weight respectively.

Data Analysis

Data obtained from the study were entered into Microsoft Excel version 16, cleaned, and exported to the IBM Statistical package. Frequencies and percentages were used to describe the categorical data while means and standard deviations were used to describe continuous data. A chi-square test and Fischer's exact test were used to determine the association of dietary habits, alcohol use, smoking, and body mass index with hypertension. A p-value < 0.05 was taken as statistically significant. Regarding perceived stress, a Mann-Whitney U test was used to ascertain its association with hypertension. Bivariate and multivariate logistic regression were fitted with socio-demographic variables, dietary habits, smoking status, alcohol use, perceived stress, family history of hypertension, and blood pressure check-ups within the last one year before the study. Variables with p-value < 0.05 in multivariate analysis were taken as significant independent predictors of hypertension among police officers.

Ethical Consideration

Approval for the study was obtained from the ethics committee of university of Port Harcourt. A written permission to carry out the study was obtained from the commissioner of police. Participant were properly informed about the study including the protection of their privacy and confidentiality

Results

Out of the 427 questionnaires distributed, 394 were included in data analysis because of a response rate of 92%



 Table 1:
 Socio-demographic
 characteristics
 of

 respondents

(n) (%) Age (Mean±SD =43.41 ± 7.58 5 ≤ 30 years 22 5.6 31 - 40 years 114 28.9 41 - 50 years 192 48.7 ≥ 50 years 66 16.8 Gender Male 220 55.8 Female 174 44.2 Marrital Status Single 54 13.7 Married/Cohabiting 312 79.2 Divorced 18 4.6 Widowed 10 2.5 Live With Family Yes 334 84.8 No 60 15.2 Household Number ≤ 3 people 66 16.8 4 - 6 people 210 53.3 ≥ 7 people 118 29.9 Religion Christianity 376 95.4 Islam 18	respondents							
Age (Mean±SD =43.41 ± 7.58 \leq 30 years 22 5.6 31 - 40 years 114 28.9 41 - 50 years 192 48.7 ≥ 50 years 66 16.8 Gender Male 220 55.8 Female 174 44.2 Marital Status Single 54 13.7 Married/Cohabiting 312 79.2 Divorced 18 4.6 Widowed 10 2.5 Live With Family Yes 334 84.8 No 60 15.2 Household Number ≤ 3 people 66 16.8 4 - 6 people 210 53.3 ≥ 7 people 118 29.9 Religion Christianity 376 95.4 Islam 18 4.6 Educational Level Primary 2 0.5 Secondary 198 50.3 Graduate 176 44.7 Post-graduate 18 4.6 Rank Junior officer 66<	Variable	Frequency	Percentage					
≤ 30 years225.6 $31 - 40$ years11428.9 $41 - 50$ years19248.7≥ 50 years6616.8GenderMale22055.8Female17444.2Marital StatusSingle5413.7Married/Cohabiting31279.2Divorced184.6Widowed102.5Live With FamilyYes33484.8No6015.2Household Number≤ 3 people6616.84 - 6 people21053.3≥ 7 people11829.9ReligionC10Christianity37695.4Islam184.6Educational LevelPPrimary20.5Secondary19850.3Graduate17644.7Post-graduate184.6RankJunior officer22256.35enior officer10626.926.926.9Duration of service \leq 5≤ 5 years1236 - 10 years266.611 - 15 years5614.2	Ann (Mann+SD -4		(%)					
31 - 40 years 114 28.9 41 - 50 years 192 48.7 ≥ 50 years 66 16.8 Gender	0 (5.6					
$41 - 50$ years 192 48.7 ≥ 50 years 66 16.8 Gender								
≥ 50 years 66 16.8 Gender	•							
Gender Male 220 55.8 Female 174 44.2 Marital Status 3 3 Single 54 13.7 Married/Cohabiting 312 79.2 Divorced 18 4.6 Widowed 10 2.5 Live With Family Yes 334 84.8 No 60 15.2 Household Number 2 53.3 ≥ 3 people 66 16.8 4 - 6 people 210 53.3 ≥ 7 people 118 29.9 Religion U U Christianity 376 95.4 Islam 18 4.6 Educational Level U U Primary 2 0.5 Secondary 198 50.3 Graduate 176 44.7 Post-graduate 18 4.6 Rank U U U Junior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>								
Male 220 55.8 Female 174 44.2 Marital Status	•	00	10.0					
Female 174 44.2 Marital Status 79.2 Single 54 13.7 Married/Cohabiting 312 79.2 Divorced 18 4.6 Widowed 10 2.5 Live With Family Yes 334 84.8 No 60 15.2 Household Number 10 2.5 ≤ 3 people 66 16.8 4 - 6 people 210 53.3 ≥ 7 people 118 29.9 Religion 0 0.5 Christianity 376 95.4 Islam 18 4.6 Educational Level 10 2.5 Primary 2 0.5 Secondary 198 50.3 Graduate 176 44.7 Post-graduate 18 4.6 Rank 10 26.3 Junior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duratio		220	55.8					
Marital Status Single 54 13.7 Married/Cohabiting 312 79.2 Divorced 18 4.6 Widowed 10 2.5 Live With Family 7 7 Yes 334 84.8 No 60 15.2 Household Number 10 2.5 ≤ 3 people 66 16.8 4 - 6 people 210 53.3 ≥ 7 people 118 29.9 Religion 7 7 Christianity 376 95.4 Islam 18 4.6 Educational Level 7 76 Primary 2 0.5 Secondary 198 50.3 Graduate 176 44.7 Post-graduate 18 4.6 Rank 106 26.9 Junior officer 22 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duration of service 2 5 ≤ 5 years 12 3	111110							
Single 54 13.7 Married/Cohabiting 312 79.2 Divorced 18 4.6 Widowed 10 2.5 Live With Family Yes 334 84.8 No 60 15.2 Household Number ≤ 3 people 66 16.8 4 - 6 people 210 53.3 ≥ 7 people 118 29.9 Religion Christianity 376 95.4 Islam 18 4.6 Educational Level Primary 2 0.5 Secondary 198 50.3 Graduate 176 44.7 Post-graduate 18 4.6 Junior officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 222 56.3 Senior officer <td></td> <td>1/4</td> <td>77.2</td>		1/4	77.2					
Married/Cohabiting 312 79.2 Divorced 18 4.6 Widowed 10 2.5 Live With Family 2.5 Yes 334 84.8 No 60 15.2 Household Number \leq 3 people 66 16.8 $4 - 6$ people 210 53.3 \geq 7 people 118 29.9 Religion C C 95.4 188 4.6 Educational Level P P 95.4 188 4.6 Educational Level P 95.4 188 4.6 Educational Level P 95.3 35.3 35.3 Graduate 176 44.7 95.4 46.6 Rank 108 4.6 $8ank$ 4.6 Junior officer 66 16.8 66.3 16.8 $8ank$ 4.6 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 56.3 56.3 56.3 56.3 56.5 56.5 <		54	137					
Divorced184.6Widowed102.5Live With FamilyYes33484.8No6015.2Household Number \leq \leq \leq 3 people6616.84 - 6 people21053.3 \geq 7 people11829.9Religion \sim \sim Christianity37695.4Islam184.6Educational Level \sim Primary20.5Secondary19850.3Graduate17644.7Post-graduate184.6Rank \sim \sim Junior officer6616.8Mid-level officer22256.3Senior officer10626.9Duration of service $<$ \leq \leq 5 years123 $6 - 10$ years266.611 - 15 years5614.2	0							
Widowed102.5Live With Family Yes 33484.8No6015.2Household Number \leq \leq 3 people6616.84 - 6 people21053.3 \geq 7 people11829.9Religion V Christianity37695.4Islam184.6Educational Level V Primary20.5Secondary19850.3Graduate17644.7Post-graduate184.6Rank V Junior officer6616.8Mid-level officer22256.3Senior officer10626.9Duration of service S 12 \leq 5 years123 $6 - 10$ years266.611 - 15 years5614.2	_	_						
Live With FamilyYes 334 84.8 No 60 15.2 Household Number \leq \leq 3 people 66 16.8 $4 - 6$ people 210 53.3 \geq 7 people 118 29.9 Religion $\mathbf{Christianity}$ 376 95.4 Islam 18 4.6 Educational Level \mathbf{V} Primary 2 0.5 Secondary 198 50.3 Graduate 176 44.7 Post-graduate 18 4.6 Rank \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V} Junior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duration of service \mathbf{V} \mathbf{S} \leq 5 years 12 3 $6 - 10$ years 26 6.6 $11 - 15$ years 56 14.2		-						
Yes 334 84.8 No 60 15.2 Household Number \leq \leq 3 people 66 16.8 $4 - 6$ people 210 53.3 \geq 7 people 118 29.9 Religion $\mathbf{Christianity}$ 376 95.4 Islam 18 4.6 Educational Level \mathbf{V} Primary 2 0.5 Secondary 198 50.3 Graduate 176 44.7 Post-graduate 18 4.6 Rank \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} Junior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duration of service \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \leq 5 years 12 3 $6 - 10$ years 26 6.6 $11 - 15$ years 56 14.2		10	2.5					
No6015.2Household Number≤ 3 people6616.84 - 6 people21053.3≥ 7 people11829.9Religion V Christianity37695.4Islam184.6Educational Level V Primary20.5Secondary19850.3Graduate17644.7Post-graduate184.6Rank V V Junior officer6616.8Mid-level officer22256.3Senior officer10626.9Duration of service S 3≤ 5 years1236 - 10 years266.611 - 15 years5614.2	•	224	04.0					
Household Number ≤ 3 people 66 16.8 4 - 6 people 210 53.3 ≥ 7 people 118 29.9 Religion Christianity 376 95.4 Islam 18 4.6 Educational Level Primary 2 0.5 Secondary 198 50.3 Graduate 176 44.7 Post-graduate 18 4.6 Rank Junior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duration of service ≤ 5 years 12 3 6 - 10 years 26 6.6 11 - 15 years 56 14.2								
≤ 3 people6616.84 - 6 people21053.3≥ 7 people11829.9ReligionChristianity37695.4Islam184.6Educational LevelPrimary20.5Secondary19850.3Graduate17644.7Post-graduate184.6Rank10626.9Junior officer10626.9Duration of service123≤ 5 years1236 - 10 years266.611 - 15 years5614.2	110	60	15.2					
4 - 6 people 210 53.3 ≥ 7 people 118 29.9 Religion Christianity 376 95.4 Islam 18 4.6 Educational Level Primary 2 0.5 Secondary 198 50.3 Graduate 176 44.7 Post-graduate 18 4.6 Rank Junior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duration of service ≤ 5 years 12 3 6 - 10 years 26 6.6 11 - 15 years 56 14.2			16.0					
≥ 7 people11829.9Religion11829.9Christianity37695.4Islam184.6Educational Level V Primary20.5Secondary19850.3Graduate17644.7Post-graduate184.6Rank V Junior officer6616.8Mid-level officer22256.3Senior officer10626.9Duration of service V ≤ 5 years1236 - 10 years266.611 - 15 years5614.2	1 1							
ReligionChristianity 376 95.4 Islam 18 4.6 Educational Level -18 Primary 2 0.5 Secondary 198 50.3 Graduate 176 44.7 Post-graduate 18 4.6 Rank -10 -10 Junior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duration of service -10 3 ≤ 5 years 12 3 $6 - 10$ years 26 6.6 $11 - 15$ years 56 14.2	1 1							
Christianity 376 95.4 Islam18 4.6 Educational Level -18 Primary2 0.5 Secondary198 50.3 Graduate176 44.7 Post-graduate18 4.6 Rank -106 222 Junior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duration of service -10 3 ≤ 5 years 12 3 $6 - 10$ years 26 6.6 $11 - 15$ years 56 14.2	1 1	118	29.9					
Islam184.6Educational Level $-$ Primary20.5Secondary19850.3Graduate17644.7Post-graduate184.6Rank $ -$ Junior officer6616.8Mid-level officer22256.3Senior officer10626.9Duration of service $ \leq$ 5 years123 $6 - 10$ years266.6 $11 - 15$ years5614.2		274	05.4					
Educational LevelPrimary2 0.5 Secondary198 50.3 Graduate176 44.7 Post-graduate18 4.6 Rank V V Junior officer66 16.8 Mid-level officer222 56.3 Senior officer106 26.9 Duration of service V ≤ 5 years12 3 $6 - 10$ years 26 6.6 $11 - 15$ years 56 14.2	•							
Primary2 0.5 Secondary198 50.3 Graduate176 44.7 Post-graduate18 4.6 Rank V Junior officer6616.8Mid-level officer22256.3Senior officer10626.9Duration of service V \leq 5 years123 $6 - 10$ years266.6 $11 - 15$ years5614.2		18	4.6					
Secondary19850.3Graduate17644.7Post-graduate184.6Rank $IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII$		2	- -					
Graduate17644.7Post-graduate184.6Rank I I Junior officer6616.8Mid-level officer22256.3Senior officer10626.9Duration of service I \leq 5 years1236 - 10 years266.611 - 15 years5614.2	•							
Post-graduate 18 4.6 Rank I Junior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duration of service I I \leq 5 years 12 3 $6 - 10$ years 26 6.6 $11 - 15$ years 56 14.2	•							
RankJunior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duration of service $=$ \leq 5 years 12 3 $6 - 10$ years 26 6.6 $11 - 15$ years 56 14.2								
Junior officer 66 16.8 Mid-level officer 222 56.3 Senior officer 106 26.9 Duration of service 25 years 12 ≤ 5 years 12 3 $6 - 10$ years 26 6.6 $11 - 15$ years 56 14.2	0	18	4.6					
Mid-level officer22256.3Senior officer10626.9Duration of service \leq \leq 5 years1236 - 10 years266.611 - 15 years5614.2								
Senior officer10626.9Duration of service \leq 5 years1236 - 10 years266.611 - 15 years5614.2	•							
Duration of service 12 3 ≤ 5 years 12 3 6 - 10 years 26 6.6 11 - 15 years 56 14.2								
\leq 5 years1236 - 10 years266.611 - 15 years5614.2		106	26.9					
6 - 10 years266.611 - 15 years5614.2								
11 - 15 years 56 14.2								
$\geq 16 \text{ years}$ 300 76.1								
	\geq 16 years	300	76.1					
Firearm bearing	•							
Yes 254 64.5								
No 140 35.5	No	140	35.5					

From the result of the descriptive analysis, the mean age in years of the Police officers who participated in the study was 43.41 ± 7.58 years. There were 220 (55.8%) male officers. While a majority of them (79.2%) were married or cohabiting, 54 (13.7%) were single. Over 80% of them lived with their families and only 15.2% were not living with their families at the time of the study. Over 50% had a household size of 4 - 6 people. The majority of participants 198 (50.3%) held only secondary school certificate as their highest level of educational attainment, followed by those who were graduates (1st degree holders)176 (44.7%) and postgraduate 18 (4.6%). Majority (56.3%) of the study subjects were mid-level officers, followed by Senior officers (26.9%) and Junior officers (16.8%). Regarding the duration of service, 300 (76.1%) Police officers had worked for more than 16 years while 56 of them (14.2%) had worked for 11 - 15years.

Table 2: Prevalence of hypertension amongrespondents

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percent (%)
Hypertension Status by Bp	Non- hypertensive	198	50.3
measurement	Hypertensive	196	49.7
Family	Yes	108	27.4
History	No	286	72.6
Prior	Yes	64	16.2
Diagnosis	No	330	83.8

Table 2 shows that approximately half of the participants 196 (49.7%) were classified as hypertensive (\geq 140/90 mmHg) while 198 (50.3%) were non hypertensive indicating a relatively very high prevalence of hypertension among the study participants. A large majority of the study participants (72.6%) reported no family history of hypertension, indicating a weak familial predisposition among the study group.



Variable		Non-Hypertensive n (%)	Hypertensive n (%)	Total n (%)	χ², df	p-value
Dietary habit	Poor	168 (84.8)	170 (86.7)	338 (85.8)	0.207.1	0.529
	Good	30 (15.2)	26 (13.3)	56 (14.2)	0.287, 1	

 χ^2 = Chi-square. *df* =Degree of freedom

Table 3 shows that 84.8% of the non-hypertensive subjects and 86.7% of the hypertensive patients had poor dietary habits. Cumulatively, 85.8% of the study participants had poor dietary habits. However, the chi-square test of association between dietary habit and hypertension in this study group revealed no significant association between the two variables ($\chi 2 = 0.287$; p = 0.529).

Table 4: Smoking and Alcohol association with hypertension

Variables		Non- Hypertensive n (%)	Hypertensive n (%)	Total n (%)	χ^2 , df	p- value
Alcohol use	No	92 (46.5)	84 (42.9)	176 (44.7)	0.519, 1	0.471
	Yes	106 (53.5)	112 (57.1)	218 (55.3)	0.519, 1	0.471
Quantification of	Low	72 (67.9)	52 (46.4)	124 (56.9)		
Alcohol use	Moderate	28 (26.4)	36 (32.1)	64 (29.4)	1E 01E 2	0.002
	Moderately High	4 (3.8)	12 (10.7)	16 (7.3)	15.215, 3	0.002
	High	2 (1.9)	12 (10.7)	1 (6.4)		
Smoking Status	Past Smoker	6 (3.0)	8 (4.1)	14 (3.6)		
	Current Smoker	4 (2.0)	14 (7.1)	18 (4.6)	6.373, 2	0.041
	Never Smoked	188 (95.0)	174 (88.8)	362 (91.8)		

 χ^2 = Chi-square; *df*=Degree of freedom; f = Fischer's exact

From Table 4, approximately 218(55.3%) of all the study participants reported the use of alcohol, with (57.1%) hypertensives noted to be users. The chi-square test showed that the difference in alcohol use between hypertensive and non-hypertensive study participants was not statistically significant ($\chi 2 = 0.519$; p = 0.471).

In addition, among the total study participants, 56.9% had low alcohol use disorder. However, there was a significant difference in the quantity of alcohol use between hypertensive and non-hypertensive participants ($\chi^2 = 15.215$; p = 0.002). Cumulatively, majority (91.8%) of the study participants reported that they had never smoked, hypertensive (88.8%) and non-hypertensive (95.0%) participants. Nevertheless, as revealed by the Chi-square test of association, there was a significant difference in smoking status between the hypertensive and non-hypertensive study participants. ($\chi^2 = 15.215$; p = 0.002). Higher proportions of current smokers were observed among hypertensive participants.

Variable		Ν	Mean Score	U	p-value
Perceived Stress	Non-Hypertensive	198	179.53	15846.0	0.002
	Hypertensive	196	215.65	15846.0	0.002

U = Mann-Whitney U statistic

Table 4.6 - Mann-Whitney U test for association showed that the mean score of perceived stress among non-hypertensive study participants was significantly lower than the score among the hypertensive group. There is a statistically significant



association between perceived stress and hypertension (P=0.002). Hypertensive participants tended to report higher levels of perceived stress compared to those who were not hypertensive (215.65 versus 179.53).

Variat	ble	Non-Hypertensive n (%)	Hypertensive n (%)	Total n (%)	χ^2	p-value
	Underweight	4 (2.0)	4 (2.0)	8 (2.0)		
рмі	Normal	78 (39.4)	50 (25.5)	128 (32.5)	2 ((71f	< 0.004/k
BMI	Overweight	84 (42.4)	66 (33.7)	150 (38.1)	26.671 ^f	< 0.001*
	Obese	32 (16.2)	76 (38.8)	108 (27.4)		

Table 6: Body mass index and its association with hypertension

f = Fischer's exact; χ^2 = Chi-square; * = Significant at 95% confidence interval

As depicted in Table 6, 108 (27.4%) of the study participants were obese and 150 (38.1%) were overweight. Fischer's exact test for association revealed that there was a significant association between BMI categories and hypertension ($\chi 2=26.671$ f, P<0.001

T 1.1. 7 . T	•	C 1 4 · · ·	C1 · ·	,••• ,
I able /: Logistic	regression	of determinants	of hypertension	among participants
				orer

Variables	В	Bivariate		Multivariate		
v arradies	D	OR (95% CI)	p-value	aOR (95% CI)	p-value	
Age	0.043	1.08 (1.05 - 1.11)	< 0.001	1.05 (1.00 - 1.11)	0.061	
Household Number	-0.051	1.08 (0.98 - 1.20)	0.112	0.95 (0.83 - 1.08)	0.446	
Duration of service	0.090	1.08 (1.05 - 1.12)	< 0.001	1.08 (1.02 - 1.15)	0.016*	
Perceived Stress	0.044	1.06 (1.02 - 1.10)	0.002	1.05 (1.00 - 1.11)	0.044*	
Body Mass Index	0.104	1.11 (1.06 – 1.16)	< 0.001	1.11 (1.05 – 1.17)	< 0.001	
Gender						
Male	0.596	1.55 (1.03 - 2.31)	0.033	2.45 (1.14 - 5.28)	0.022*	
Female	Ref					
Marital Status						
Single	-0.001	0.46 (0.12 - 1.82)	0.267	1.05 (0.19 - 5.70)	0.960	
Married/Cohabiting	-0.099	0.68 (0.19 - 2.47)	0.562	0.88 (0.19 - 4.12)	0.870	
Divorced	-0.330	0.83 (0.17 - 4.01)	0.82	0.60 (0.08 - 4.38)	0.615	
Widowed	Ref					
Lives with Family						
Yes	-0.557	0.84 (0.49 - 1.46)	0.546	0.51 (0.22 - 1.19)	0.121	
No	Ref					
Religion						
Christianity	0.931	1.25 (0.48 - 3.24)	0.646	2.31 (0.69 - 7.77)	0.177	
Islam	Ref					
Education						
Primary/Secondary	-0.132	0.48 (0.17 - 1.33)	0.158	1.09 (0.27 - 3.80)	0.944	
Graduate	-0.192	0.48 (0.17 - 1.33)	0.157	0.84 (0.22 - 3.22)	0.802	



The Nigerian Health Journal; Volume 25, Issue 1 – March, 2025 Prevalence and Predictors of Hypertension Among Police Personnel in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Rivers State, Nigeria Nwankwor & Ojule

Variables	В	Bivariate		Multivariate		
v allables	D	OR (95% CI)	p-value	aOR (95% CI)	p-value	
Post-graduate	Ref					
Rank						
Junior Officer	0.568	0.35 (0.16 - 0.66)	0.001	2.02 (0.72 - 5.66)	0.180	
Mid-level officer	0.377	0.55 (0.35 - 0.89)	0.014	1.43 (0.68 - 3.01)	0.351	
Senior officer	Ref					
Fire Arm Bearing						
Yes	0.320	1.54 (1.01 - 2.33)	0.043	1.30 (0.65 - 2.58)	0.457	
No	Ref					

Ref =Reference category; COR = Odds ratio; AOR = Adjusted odds ratio; * = Significant; CI = Confidence interval

H 1 1 0 1 1 1	•	c 1 ·	C1 ·	
Lable X. Logistic	repression of	t determinants i	ot hypertension	among participants
I able 0. logistic	regression of	i ucterininantes v	or hypertension	among participants

Variables	в	Bivariate		Multivariate		
variables	D	COR (95% CI)	p-value	AOR (95% CI)	p-value	
Alcohol use						
Yes	0.287	0.86 (0.58 - 1.29)	0.472	1.47 (0.80 - 2.69)	0.212	
No	Ref					
Smoking Status						
Past Smoker	0.307	1.44 (0.49 - 4.24)	0.507	1.18 (0.31 - 4.54)	0.814	
Current Smoker	1.786	3.78 (1.22 - 11.71)	0.021	5.94 (1.17 - 30.08)	0.031*	
Never Smoked	Ref					
Dietary Habit						
Poor	0.204	1.17 (0.66 - 2.06)	0.592	1.10 (0.53 - 2.30)	0.793	
Good	Ref					
Family History						
Yes	1.097	2.92 (1.83 - 4.68)	< 0.001	2.78 (1.55-4.99)	0.001*	
No	Ref					
Medical Check-Up						
Yes	0.139	1.54 (1.03 - 2.29)	0.035	1.18 (0.70 – 1.99)	0.543	
No	Ref					

AOR = Adjusted odd ratio; COR = Crude odd ratio; * = Significant; CI = confidence interval; ref = reference category

Tables 7 and 8, bivariate logistic regression showed that age (p < 0.001), years of service (p < 0.001), perceived stress (p = 0.002), being a male (p = 0.033), being of a junior rank (p < 0.001), being a mid-level officer rank (0.014), being a current smoker (0.021), having a family history of hypertension (p < 0.001) and doing a regular medical check-up (p = 0.035) were significant linear predictors of hypertension among study participants.

Nevertheless, after controlling for confounders through a multivariate logistic regression, only years of service (p = 0.016), perceived stress (p = 0.044), BMI (p < 0.001), being a male (0.022), being a current smoker (0.031), and having a family history of hypertension (p = 0.001) were significant predictors of hypertension among the studied police officers in Rivers State.

Furthermore, from the adjusted odds ratio it can be seen that:

The Nigerian Health Journal, Volume 25, Issue 1 Published by The Nigerian Medical Association, Rivers State Branch.

Downloaded from www.tnhjph.com

Print ISSN: 0189-9287 Online ISSN: 2992-345X



Each additional unit increase in years of experience is associated with 8% increase in odds of hypertension. Each additional unit increase in perceived Stress is associated with 5% increase in odds of hypertension. Each additional unit increase in body mass index (BMI) is associated with an 11% increase in the odds of hypertension. Being a male compared to female is

Discussion

Our study revealed the prevalence of hypertension among Police officers in Rivers State to be 49.7% of the sampled officers. This prevalence is higher than what has been obtained in recent studies in the general population of this study location. Previous research indicates that the prevalence of hypertension in Port Harcourt was approximately 11.1% to 32.7%, depending on the specific population studied and the methodologies employed. For example, the study by Wordu & Akusu among adult traders in Port Harcourt indicated that the prevalence of stage1 and stage2 hypertension were 18.5% and 11.1% respectively, while another study by Adeyanju focusing on company workers in Rivers State reported a hypertension prevalence of 32.7% (22,23). Observations have been made of a continuous increase in the prevalence of hypertension in the Niger Delta region, noting a positive association between lifestyle, socioeconomic factors and hypertension (7,24). This high prevalence we recorded agrees with a previous study from this present locality, Obio-Akpor local government area by Ajah et al. In their study, 40.4% of police officers were noted to be hypertensive (22). It is also in agreement with the findings from a study by Yates et al where 60.5% of operational police personnel in England and Wales were found to have hypertension ²⁵. A report from a similar study carried out in another part of West Africa revealed a hypertension prevalence rate of 45% among security officers in Ghana⁽²⁶⁾. The prevalence rate from this study is higher when compared to the 31.8% prevalence rate of hypertension among police officers in the North-East USA. 27 The higher prevalence recorded in the current study may be due to differences on how Nigerian Police personnel mitigate and cope with societal and occupational stress. Also, the police force in North America may have better organizational justice and commitments such as working conditions, healthcare system and other social determinants of health aimed at preventing police personnel from developing occupation related ill health such as hypertension than those in the Nigerian Police force.

associated with 145% increase in odds of hypertension. Being a current smoker compared to "never" smoked is associated with a 494% increase in odds of hypertension. Having a family history of hypertension compared with those who do not have is associated with a 178% likelihood of becoming hypertensive.

Dietary habit was not significantly associated with hypertension in this current study. This is in agreement with a study by Zheng et al. who found that while high salt-containing foods and animal food patterns were associated with increased risk of hypertension, traditional dietary patterns did not show the same association. This highlights the complex nature diet impacts on blood pressure, suggesting that not all nutritional habits affect blood pressure in the same way ²⁸ Among the total study participants, 56.9% were rated as low users of alcohol. When the quantity of alcohol use between hypertensive and non-hypertensive participants were compared, there was a significant difference with rtensives being the higher consumers.

When the initial significant results of this study were subjected to further analysis with Chi-square and logistic regression analysis, it revealed that alcohol use was not significantly associated with hypertension. Our finding tallies with our initial result that showed a low level of alcohol use by our participants. However, it is at variance with the results of a study conducted by Gurung among police officers in Thailand (27). Gurung and coresearchers found that alcohol consumption was associated with a likelihood of developing the metabolic syndrome (MetS), a syndrome that has hypertension as a major component. This difference may be attributed to age difference of participants in the two studies. In the present study, police officers aged 18 yrs and above were included whereas Gurung et al selected older police officers aged 35yrs and above. Age is a well-established risk factor for hypertension. Also, the specific alcohol brands and their alcohol concentration was not ascertained even in the present study. This may have given rise to non-standardized results because alcoholic drinks differ in their levels of alcohol content. Alcohol use disorder is strongly linked to physiological and metabolic dysregulations which can include excitation of sympathetic nervous system and oxidative stress leading to the development of hypertension.

The Nigerian Health Journal, Volume 25, Issue 1 Published by The Nigerian Medical Association, Rivers State Branch. Downloaded from www.tnhjph.com Print ISSN: 0189-9287 Online ISSN: 2992-345X



Current smoking status, as compared with those who had never smoked, was significantly associated with hypertension among police officers. This is in agreement with study conducted among Chinese men where current smokers had a significantly higher systolic blood pressure compared to non-smokers after controlling for confounders including age, alcohol consumption, and body mass⁽²⁹⁾. Smokeless tobacco is strongly associated with hypertension as was observed among police officers in Kolkata, India³⁰. Whether with smoke or smokeless, the main active ingredient is tobacco, as noted by Kumar et al ³¹

From the results of this study, smoking was associated with a very high likelihood of developing hypertension among police officers. Smoking is known to stimulate the sympathetic nervous system, causing adrenaline release which in turn increases the heart rate and ultimately blood pressure. Age, number of sticks duration of smoking, smoked, and genetic predisposition are reported to determine the influence of smoking on the development of hypertension. For instance, a cohort study conducted among Chinese Petrochemical workers reported that older age, smoking and factors associated with lifestyles significantly increased the risk of hypertension⁽³²⁾. A cross-sectional study conducted in Vietnam indicated that men who had indulged in smoking for more than 30 years or lifetime smoking history greater than 20 pack-years had significantly higher rates of hypertension⁽²⁹⁾. The current study also found that the association between past smoking activities and hypertension did not show a statistically significant relationship. This may be attributed to the return of sympathetic nervous system to its normal functional level following months or years of smoking cessation.

Also, in the present study, logistic regression analysis showed that perceived stress and body mass index were among the predictors of hypertension and several studies support this position. Dashit and colleagues agreed that the demands of law enforcement put police officers at risk for high blood pressure, insomnia, increased level of destructive stress hormones, heart problems, post-traumatic stress disorder and suicide. Janczura et al were of the opinion that fatigue and lack of sleep, which have bidirectional relationship with stress are significantly associated with hypertension ⁽³³⁾. A Chinese study on the Interaction between

The Nigerian Health Journal, Volume 25, Issue 1 Published by The Nigerian Medical Association, Rivers State Branch. Downloaded from www.tnhjph.com Print ISSN: 0189-9287 Online ISSN: 2992-345X Occupational Stress, Smoking, Alcohol intake and BMI on Hypertension among Petrochemical Workers identified occupational stress as a significant factor contributing to the onset of hypertension^(8,34). This association has been observed in various occupational settings, including coal miners, military personnel, oil workers and other jobs that are stressful to the nervous system.⁽¹⁰⁻¹²⁾

Findings from the present study showed that each additional unit increase in body mass index is associated with 11% odds of developing hypertension among police officers. Furthermore, Yates and colleagues observed in their study that the odds of developing hypertension among police officers increases as the body mass index increases⁽²⁵⁾. The relationship between body mass index (BMI) and hypertension has been extensively studied in various settings and populations and many studies have consistently demonstrated a positive relationship between BMI and the risk of developing high blood pressure. For example, the result of a systematic review and meta-analysis of more than 2.3 million participants found that high BMI is one of the significant contributors to hypertension risk, with the prevalence of hypertension increasing directly as BMI increases(35).

In this current study, years of service (p = 0.016), perceived stress (p = 0.044), BMI (p < 0.001), being a male (0.022), being a current smoker (0.031), and having a family history of hypertension (p = 0.001) were significant predictors of hypertension among the studied police officers in Rivers State. These findings are corroborated by other previous studies. ^(15,30)

Limitations of this Study

This study was carried out in Port Harcourt metropolis, a highly urbanized city. Therefore the findings may not be generalized to other parts of Rivers State or other parts of Nigeria. In addition, the study design relied on self-reporting information, which may be subject to social desirability bias.

Conclusion

Hypertension is highly prevalent among police officers in our locality and identified predictors are; years of service, perceived stress, BMI, being a male, being a current smoker and having a family history of hypertension. Understanding the prevalence and



determinants of hypertension is crucial for effective management and prevention strategies. Targeted interventions based on the elucidated predictors of hypertension among this occupational group would be necessary to ensure that more police officers do not develop high blood pressure.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Strategies such as training using teaching, discussion, and explanation to educate the police officers about stress and its coping mechanism and other positive lifestyle changes be made available to police personnel in our locality.

Authors contribution: this work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing Interests: Authors have declared that no competing interests exist

Authors' Contribution: Both authors worked together from concept to manuscript writing.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: Personal funding.

Acknowledgment: We sincerely thank the Commissioner of Police, Rivers State and his team. We appreciate all the police personnel that participated in the study.

References

- Ma Y, Zhao C, Zhao Y, Lu J, Jiang H, Cao Y, et al. Telemedicine application in patients with chronic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak [Internet]. 2022 Dec 1 [cited 2024;22(1):1–14.
- Puri AM, Joshi PM. Hypertension among Police Personnel with Reference to Perceived Stress - A Cross-Sectional Study. Occup Med Health Aff. 2019.7: 300.
- Eren OC, Ortiz A, Afsar B, Covic A, Kuwabara M, Lanaspa MA, et al. Multilayered Interplay Between Fructose and Salt in Development of Hypertension. Hypertension. 2019 [cited 2024;73(2):265–72.
- Tenkorang EY, Sedziafa P, Sano Y, Kuuire V, Banchani E. Validity of Self-Report Data in Hypertension Research: Findings from the Study on Global Ageing and Adult Health. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) [Internet]. 2015 Dec 1 [cited 2024;17(12):977–84.

- Gabriel Uche Pascal Iloh, Agwu Nkwa Amadi. Essential Hypertension in Adult Nigerians in a Primary Care Clinic: A Cross-Sectional Study of the Prevalence and Associated Family Socio-Biological Factors in Eastern Nigeria. Eur J Prev Med. 2014;2(6):81-89. doi: 10.11648/j.ejpm.20140206.11
- Akinlua JT, Meakin R, Umar AM, Freemantle N. Current Prevalence Pattern of Hypertension in Nigeria: A Systematic Review. PLoS One [Internet]. 2015 Oct 13 [cited 2024 Sep 16];10(10)
- Ojule IN. Prevalence of Hypertension and Knowledge of Its Risk Behaviors among Residents of Rural and Urban Communities in Rivers State, Nigeria. Int J Trop Dis Health. 2019 Jan 8;34(1):1– 10.
- Cuffee Y, Ogedegbe C, Williams NJ, Ogedegbe G, Schoenthaler A. Psychosocial risk factors for hypertension: an update of the literature. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2014 Oct;16(10):483. doi: 10.1007/s11906-014-0483-3. PMID: 25139781; PMCID: PMC4163921.
- Gu Z, Qu Y, Wu H. The Interaction between Occupational Stress and Smoking, Alcohol Drinking and BMI on Hypertension in Chinese Petrochemical Workers. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Dec 16;19(24):16932. doi: 10.3390/ijerph192416932. PMID: 36554810; PMCID: PMC9779072.
- Sapkota A, Neupane D, Shrestha AD, Adhikari TB, McLachlan CS, Shrestha N. Prevalence and associated factors of hypertension among veterans of the Indian Gorkha regiments living in Pokhara Metropolitan City, Nepal. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021 Sep 1;21(1):899. doi: 10.1186/s12913-021-06907-1. PMID: 34470648; PMCID: PMC8408920.
- Yong X, Gao X, Zhang Z, Ge H, Sun X, Ma X, et al. Associations of occupational stress with job burn-out, depression and hypertension in coal miners of Xinjiang, China: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2020 Jul 1 [cited 2024 Sep 16];10(7):e036087.
- 12. Tao, N., Ge, H., Wu, W. et al. Association of glucocorticoid receptor gene polymorphism and occupational stress with hypertension in desert

The Nigerian Health Journal, Volume 25, Issue 1 Published by The Nigerian Medical Association, Rivers State Branch. Downloaded from www.tnhjph.com Print ISSN: 0189-9287 Online ISSN: 2992-345X T P St

> petroleum workers in Xinjiang, China. BMC Med Genet 19, 213 (2018).

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12881-018-0688-4

- Lu, Y., Yan, H., Yang, J. et al. Occupational stress and psychological health impact on hypertension of miners in noisy environment in Wulumuqi, China: a case-control study. BMC Public Health 20, 1675 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09760-9</u>
- 14. Budhathoki L, Paudel L, Shrestha B, Phuyal N, Bhandari G, Shrestha KK, et al. Prevalence of Hypertension and its Associated Risk Factors Among Military Personnel of Nepali Army in Selected Military Barracks of Nepal. Medical Journal of Shree Birendra Hospital. 2022 Jul 7;21(1):104–11.
- Yang F, Qiu R, Abudoubari S, Tao N, An H. 2022. Effect of interaction between occupational stress and polymorphisms of MTHFR gene and SELE gene on hypertension. PeerJ 10:e12914 https://doi.org/10. 7717/peerj.12914
- Li, R., Gao, X., Liu, B., Ge, H., Ning, L., Zhao, J., & Liu, J. (2017). Prospective Cohort Study to Elucidate the Correlation between Occupational Stress and Hypertension Risk in Oil Workers from Kelamayi City in the Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region of China. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 14(1), 1. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14010001</u>
- Rustom A, Rahman MM, Iqbal SA, Hasan MNA. Prevalence of Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Diseases among Selected Military Personnel of Bangladesh. Journal of Armed Forces Medical College Bangladesh. 2020;14(2):186–9.
- Chauhan VS, Bansal M, Sharma V, Gupta R. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Hypertension among Police Personnel of District Gwalior- A Cross-Sectional Study. Indian J Community Med. 2022 Jul-Sep;47(3):379-385. doi: 10.4103/ijcm.ijcm_1154_21.
- Sen A, Das M, Basu S, Datta G. Prevalence of hypertension and its associated risk factors among Kolkata- Prevalence of hypertension and its associated risk factors among Kolkata-based

policemen: a sociopsychological study. Int J Med Sci Public Health. 2015;4(2):1–9.

- Mahesh PA, Mohan JP. Hypertension among police personnel with reference to perceived Stress - A cross-sectional study. Occup Med Health Aff. 2019;7(2):2–5.
- Shaidah BJ. Risk factors of hypertension among security officers of the University of Ghana, Legon Campus. University of Ghana; 2016. http://197.255.68.203/handle/123456789/21130
- 22. Ajah AA, Amah-Tariah FS, Iwu IC. Relationship between Body Mass Index and Hypertension among Police Officers in Port Harcourt. Journal of Cardiovascular Disorders. 2018;5(1):1039.
- Wordu G, Akusu O. Dietary Pattern and Prevalence of High Blood Pressure among Adult Traders in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Asian Journal of Medicine and Health. 2018;11(1):1–7.
- Ezejimofor M, Uthman O, Chen YF, Ezejimofor B, Ezeabasili A, Stranges S, et al. Magnitude and pattern of hypertension in the Niger Delta: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of community-based studies. J Glob Health. 2018;8(1):010420.
- Yates JD, Aldous JWF, Bailey DP, Chater AM, Mitchell ACS, Richards JC. The Prevalence and Predictors of Hypertension and the Metabolic Syndrome in Police Personnel. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(13):6728. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18136728.
- 26. Chaturvedi DV, Gupta D, Maheshgauri DM, Yadav GE, Debnath DJ, Chaturvedi AD. The prevalence and risk factors of diabetes and hypertension among police personnel: A population-based cross-sectional study. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2025 Jan 1;14(1):441-6.
- 27. Gurung M, Chotenimitkhun R, Ratanasumawong K, Prommete B, Aekplakorn W. Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome and Its Associated Factors among Thai Police Officers –A Population-based Study. Siriraj Med J. 2023;75(3):208–17.
- Zheng PF, Shu L, Zhang XY, Si CJ, Yu XL, Gao W, Tong XQ, Zhang L. Association between Dietary Patterns and the Risk of Hypertension

The Nigerian Health Journal, Volume 25, Issue 1 Published by The Nigerian Medical Association, Rivers State Branch. Downloaded from www.tnhjph.com Print ISSN: 0189-9287 Online ISSN: 2992-345X



among Chinese: A Cross-Sectional Study. Nutrients. 2016;8(4):239. doi: 10.3390/nu8040239.

- Liu T, Tyndale RF, David SP, Wang H, Yu XQ, Chen W, et al. Association between daily cigarette consumption and hypertension moderated by CYP2A6 genotypes in Chinese male current smokers. Journal of Human Hypertension. 2012;27(1):24–30.
- Datta BK, Husain MJ. Uncontrolled hypertension among tobacco-users: women of prime childbearing age at risk in India. BMC Womens Health. 2021;21(1):146. doi: 10.1186/s12905-021-01280-x.
- Kumar A, Gautam PB, Pore P. Prevalence of hypertension and its associated risk factors among police personnel of a metropolitan city. Asian J Med Sci. 2023;14(3):122–9.
- 32. Gu Z, Qu Y, Wu H. The Interaction between Occupational Stress and Smoking, Alcohol Drinking and BMI on Hypertension in Chinese Petrochemical Workers. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022;19(24):16932.
- 33. Janczura M, Rosa R, Dropinski J, Gielicz A, Stanisz A, Kotula-Horowitz K, et al. The Associations of Perceived and Oxidative Stress with Hypertension in a Cohort of Police Officers. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2021; 14:1783–97.
- 34. Gu Z, Qu Y, Wu H. The Interaction between Occupational Stress and Smoking, Alcohol Drinking and BMI on Hypertension in Chinese Petrochemical Workers. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Dec 16;19(24):16932. doi: 10.3390/ijerph192416932.
- 35. Jayedi A, Rashidy-Pour A, Khorshidi M, Shab-Bidar S. Body mass index, abdominal adiposity, weight gain and risk of developing hypertension: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of more than 2.3 million participants. Obes Rev. 2018;19(5):654–67.