

Complications of Fracture and Dislocation Treatment By Traditional Bone Setters: A Private Practice Experience

Type of Article: Original

Aniekan Udoh Ekere, Richard Chinedu Echem

Address: Department of Surgery, Faculty of Clinical Sciences, College of Health Sciences
University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

Background: Traditional bone setting is common in developing nations. The principles of bone setting, although differing slightly among cultures are similar. The practice is not without its shortcomings as patients who have received prior traditional bonesetters (TBS) care usually present with complications to hospitals. This study seeks to document the complications seen as a result of TBS treatment of fractures and dislocations at a private ortho-trauma centre.

Methods : A prospective study of consecutive patients with fractures and dislocations who had received treatment from traditional bonesetters and were seen and managed afterwards at Rehoboth Specialist Hospital , Port Harcourt from 1st January 2007 to 31st December 2007 .

Results: During the study period, 71 patients were seen, consisting of 38 males and 33 females with a male to female ratio of 1.15:1. Their ages ranged from 4 years to 80 years with an average of 33.75 years. The most frequent age brackets were 20-29 years (32.39%) and 30-39 years (22.53%). Those with secondary and tertiary education constituted 81.69% of the total. More patients first consulted the TBS (63.38%) than orthodox practice (36.62%) after the injury. There were 74 fractures (86.05%) and 12 dislocations (13.95%) with more of the injuries occurring in the lower extremity. The most frequent aetiology was road traffic accidents, mostly motor-cycle related, followed by falls and sports injuries. Those who spent 4 months or more with the TBS before presentation constituted 69%. The most frequent complications were nonunion (36.47%) and malunion (24.71%) and both were associated with shortening in 31.76%. Other complications were chronic joint dislocation, ankylosis, joint stiffness, arthrosis/arthritis, chronic osteomyelitis, Volkmann's ischaemic contracture, osteonecrosis, neuropathy, limb gangrene, delayed union and pressure ulcer. The most frequent intervention was open reduction and internal fixation (60.56%) and most of the patients (78.87%) spent 4 weeks or less in the hospital. There was no mortality in this series.

Conclusion: Complications following treatment of fractures and dislocations by TBS are common. The common complications include non-union, malunion which were both associated with shortening as well as chronic joint dislocation, although the largely avoidable limb gangrene still occurred. There is a need for basic training of TBS for them to be integrated into the primary care system.

Keywords: Traditional bonesetters; Fractures; Dislocations; Complications.

Correspondence: Dr A.U. Ekere

INTRODUCTION

Traditional bone setting is widespread in developing nations^{1,3}. Even in the industrialized nations of the world, the services of bonesetters are also utilized^{3,5}. Orthopaedic surgeons have been described as inheritors of tradition³. A pioneer orthopaedic surgeon, Hugh Owen Thomas, was from a lineage of bonesetters and learned the art of bone setting from his father³. He subsequently took over his father's practice and he also made significant contributions to the development of orthopaedic surgery.

The practice of bone setting may differ between communities but certain characteristics are common to all. The practitioners are uneducated or barely educated^{1,4,5-7}, and they rely so much on experience^{1,4,8-10} and spiritual intuition^{1,7,9}. The practice is usually preserved as a family practice^{1,4,8,10,11} with the usual scenario being that it is passed from father to son. Training is via apprenticeship^{1,2,10,11} and by this, individuals outside the family can acquire their skills. Their records are usually kept by oral tradition^{8,11}.

The principles of traditional bonesetting, although differing slightly among cultures, are generally similar. The process entails making a diagnosis, reduction of the fracture by manipulation and massages, fomentation of the site, application of herbal creams with or without scarification, immobilization of the fracture by use of splints and bandaging^{2,7,8,10,12-17}. The diagnosis is based on physical assessment and the traditional bonesetter notes such features as swellings, pain, loss of function, tenderness, limb shortening or deformity, presence of a gap between broken fragments, abnormal mobility and crepitation on palpation of the fracture site as features of fractures^{2,8,10}. The reduction is carried out closed by traction or manipulation by local pressure and without anaesthesia^{8,10}. The fractured part is usually fomented with hot water or heat and massaged with herbal concoction cream^{2,8,10,13,14,17}. The scarification is carried out to let out 'bad blood'^{8,16}. The traditional splints utilized include wood, bamboo, rattan cane and palm leaf axis^{2,8,10,11,13-17}. The splinting materials are knitted into mat-like splint and applied over the fracture site^{8,11,17}. Usually the joints above and below the fracture site are not immobilized. The splint is usually applied without a padding and is bandaged tightly most times^{8,10,17}. The splints are removed at varying intervals and the part massaged and re-

splinted until there is empirical evidence of union^{8,17}.

The practice of bone setting is not without its shortcomings. Traditional bonesetters are known in hospital practice for their failures and complications which present to hospitals¹⁷ for remediation. In Nigeria, it is estimated that 80% of fracture morbidities in our hospitals occur as a result of traditional bonesetter's practice⁸. This study therefore seeks to document the complications seen as a result of traditional bonesetters treatment of fractures and dislocations at a private ortho-trauma centre.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This was a prospective study of consecutive patients with fractures and dislocations who had received treatment from traditional bonesetters and were subsequently seen and managed at Rehoboth Specialist Hospital, Port Harcourt. The hospital is a private ortho-trauma centre in Port Harcourt and is run by an orthopaedic/trauma surgeon. The study was carried out from 1st January 2007 to 31st December 2007.

The socio-demographic data , first consult after injury , distribution of the injuries , aetiology of the injuries ,duration of treatment with the traditional bonesetters , complications , definitive treatment received , duration of hospital stay and mortality were collected and entered into a proforma . Data was analyzed by descriptive statistics and expressed in texts and tables.

RESULTS

During the period of the study, 71 patients with fractures and dislocations who had been treated by traditional bonesetters before presentation were seen. A total of 86 musculoskeletal injuries were seen in these patients. There were 38(53.52%) males and 33(46.48%) females giving a male to female ratio of 1.15:1. Their ages ranged from 4 years to 80 years with an average age of 33.75 years. The age and gender distribution of the patients is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Age and gender distribution of the patients

Age (Years)	Gender		Total n (%)
	Male n (%)	Female n (%)	
0 9	3 (4.22)	3 (4.22)	6 (8.45)
10 19	1 (1.41)	4 (5.63)	5 (7.04)
20 29	12 (16.90)	11 (15.49)	23 (32.39)
30 39	11 (15.49)	5 (7.04)	16 (22.53)
40 49	5 (7.04)	1 (1.41)	6 (8.45)
50 59	4 (5.63)	4 (5.63)	8 (11.27)
60 69	1 (1.41)	4 (5.63)	5 (7.04)
70 79	1 (1.41)	0 (0)	1 (1.41)
80 89	0 (0)	1 (1.41)	1 (1.41)
	38 (53.52)	33 (46.48)	71 (100)

Figures in parenthesis are percentages

The most frequent age brackets were the 20 29 years and 30 39 years age groups and both constituted approximately 55% of the total number.

When further classified, those aged 0 15 years constituted 12.68%, 16 49 years constituted 66.20% , 50 69 years constituted 18.31% and those 70 years and above constituted 2.82%

There was one patient (1.41%) with no formal education, 12 (16.90%) with primary, 28 (39.44%) with secondary and 30 (42.25%) with tertiary levels of education. Those with secondary and tertiary education constituted 81.69% of the total number of patients. After the injury, 45(63.38%) consulted the traditional bonesetter first while 26 (36.62%) consulted an orthodox practice.

Table 2 shows the distribution of the injury type by the affected extremity, bone/joint and side. There were 74 fractures (86.05%) and 12 dislocations (13.95%). Thirty seven (43.02%) of these injuries occurred in the upper extremity while 49 (56.98%) occurred in the lower extremity. The most frequent fractures in the upper extremity occurred in the humerus [13(15.12%)] followed by the radius [8(9.30%)]. For the humeral fractures, 10 occurred on the right while 3 occurred on left side; 2 of the radial fractures occurred on the right and 3 on the left side. In the lower extremity, the most frequent fractures were in the femur [16(18.60%)] followed by the tibia [15(17.44%)]. For the femoral fractures, 4 occurred on right and 12 on the left side while for the tibial fractures, 6 occurred on the right and 9 on the left side. In the upper extremity , the most frequent dislocation was of the elbow [3(3.49%)] followed by the shoulder(glenohumeral) [2(2.33%)] and in the lower extremity only hip dislocations [3(3.49%)] were seen. For the elbow dislocations, 2 occurred on the right and 1 on the left while the 2 shoulder (glenohumeral) dislocations occurred on the right. All the hip dislocations occurred on the right side.

Table 2 . Distribution of injury type by affected extremity, bone/joint and side

Extremity	Injury type	Bone/Joint	Side		Total (%)		
			Left	Right			
Upper	Fractures	Humerus	3	10	13 (15.12)		
		Radius	4	4	8 (9.30)		
		Ulna	3	2	5 (5.81)		
		Metacarpal	1	0	1 (1.16)		
		Clavicle	1	0	1 (1.16)		
		Subtotal	12	16	28 (32.56)		
		Lower	Fractures	Femur	12	4	16 (18.60)
Tibia	9			6	15 (17.44)		
Fibula	5			4	9 (10.47)		
Patella	1			1	2 (2.33)		
Ankle	1			2	3 (3.49)		
Calcaneum	1			0	1 (1.16)		
Subtotal	29			17	46 (53.49)		
Upper	Dislocations	Shoulder (Glenohumeral)	0	2	2 (2.33)		
		Acromioclavicular	0	1	1 (1.16)		
		Elbow	1	2	3 (3.49)		
		Wrist	0	1	1 (1.16)		
		PIP	1	0	1 (1.16)		
		MCP	0	1	1 (1.16)		
		Subtotal	2	7	9 (10.47)		
		Lower	Dislocations	Hip	0	3	3 (3.49)
				Grandtotal	43	43	86 (100)

Figures in Parenthesis are percentages
 PIP= Proximal interphalangeal
 MCP= Metacarpophalangeal

The aetiology of the injuries is shown in Table 3. The most frequent cause was road traffic accidents [30(42.25%)] followed by falls [22(30.99%)] and sports injuries [7(9.86%)].

Table 3. Aetiology of injuries

Aetiology	Number	Percentage
RTA	30	42.25
Falls	22	30.99
Sports injury	7	9.86
Civil violence	5	7.04
Gunshot injury	3	4.23
Jumped from moving vehicle	1	1.41
Hit finger against an object	1	1.41
Domestic accident (collapsed stool falling on patients leg)	1	1.41
Tree fell on patients knee	1	1.41
	71	100

RTA = Road traffic accidents

Of the road traffic accidents , 18 were motorcycle related ; involved were 2 motorcyclists (drivers) , 12 pillion riders (passengers on motorcycles) , and 4 pedestrians (motor cycle hitting the pedestrian) . Motor vehicle collisions were the cause in 6 patients and these were all passengers. It was pedestrian related in a total of 7 patients ; 4 (already mentioned) involved motorcycles , 2 involved cars hitting the pedestrians ,and in 1 , a trailer hit the pedestrian. Summersaulted vehicles were involved in 3 and all were passengers.

The falls were from the same level in 13 patients and were from a height in 9. Of the 9 who fell from a height, 2 fell from steps, 1 from a table, 3 from trees, 1 from a broken chair, 1 from a roof and 1 from a storey building.

The duration of treatment with the traditional bone setters is shown in Table 4. Those who spent 4 months or more with the bonesetters constituted 69% of the study population.

Table 4 . Duration of treatment with traditional bone setters

Duration (Months)	Number	Percentage
0 1	5	7.04
2 3	17	23.94
4 6	16	22.54
7 12	19	26.76
>12	14	19.72
	71	100

There were 85 complications in these patients. The most frequent complications were nonunion [31(36.47%)] , malunion [21(24.71%)] , chronic joint dislocations [8(9.41%)] , and ankylosis [5(5.88%)] . This is shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Complications in patients

Complications	Number	Percentage
Nonunion	31	36.47
Malunion	21	24.71
Chronic joint dislocation	8	9.41
Ankylosis	5	5.88
Joint stiffness	4	4.71
Arthrosis/Arthritis	3	3.53
Chronic osteomyelitis	3	3.53
Volkman ischaemic contracture	2	2.35
Osteonecrosis	2	2.35
Neuropathy	2	2.35
Gangrene	2	2.35
Delayed union	1	1.18
Pressure ulcer	1	1.18
	85	100

In the upper extremity, there were 8 nonunions with 2 being associated with shortening. Five of the upper extremity nonunions occurred in the humerus with 2 associated shortening occurring in this bone. There were 2 nonunions in the radius and 1 nonunion in the ulna.

In the lower extremity, there were 23 nonunions with 11 associated with shortening. There were 9 nonunions in the femur, 7 nonunions in the tibia, 3 nonunions in the fibula, 2 nonunions in the patella and 2 nonunions in the ankle. The shortenings occurred in the following frequencies femur (8), tibia (2) and fibula (1).

Malunion in the upper extremity occurred most frequently in the radius (3) followed by the ulna (2) and humerus (2) while in the lower extremity it occurred most frequently in the femur (5) and tibia (3).

The shortening as a result of malunion was found mostly in the radius (2), ulna (2), and humerus (1) in the upper extremity and mostly by the femur (5), tibia (1) and fibula (1) in the lower extremity.

In all, shortening was a consequence of nonunions in 13 fractures and of malunions in 14 fractures. Consequently, shortening occurred in 27 fractures in association with nonunion/malunion thus accounting for 31.76% of the complications.

Figure 1 shows nonunion of femoral fracture with shortening and Figure 2 shows malunion of femoral fracture with shortening from traditional bone setters practice seen in this study.

The ankylosis was bony in 1 and fibrous in 4. The neuropathy involved the radial nerve in 1 and the common peroneal nerve in another. There were 2 patients with limb gangrene. Figure 3 shows limb gangrene in one of them.

The definitive treatment received by the patients is shown in Table 6. The most frequent intervention was open reduction and internal fixation (60.56%), followed by manipulation under anaesthesia (9.86%) and open reduction (8.45%). Sequestrectomies, arthroplasties, amputations and tendon transfers were also carried out.

Table 7 shows the type and duration of hospital stay for the patients. Most of the patients (78.87%) spent 0-4 weeks in the hospital.

There was no mortality in this series.

Table 6 . Definitive treatment received

Treatment	Number	Percentage of patients
ORIF	43	60.56
MUA	7	9.86
Open reduction	6	8.45
Casting	3	4.23
Sequestrectomy	3	4.23
Soft tissue release	3	4.23
Physiotherapy	2	2.82
Hemiarthroplasty	2	2.82
Total hip replacement	2	2.82
Amputation	2	2.82
Curtis arthroplasty	1	1.41
Corrective osteotomy	1	1.41
Tendon transfer	1	1.41
Total knee replacement	1	1.41

ORIF = Open reduction and internal fixation

MUA = Manipulation under anaesthesia

Table 7 . Type and duration of hospital stay

Type	Duration	Number	Percentage
Outpatient		2	2.82
Inpatient	d 4 weeks	56	78.87
	5-8 weeks	9	12.68
	9-12 weeks	2	2.82
	13-16 weeks	1	1.41
	> 16 weeks	1	1.41
		71	100



Figure 1 - Plain radiograph of femoral nonunion from TBS treatment



Figure 2 - Plain radiograph of femoral malunion from TBS treatment



Figure 3 - Right leg gangrene from TBS Treatment

DISCUSSION

This study has shown that complications are common with traditional bonesetter's treatment of fractures and dislocations. The most common complications are nonunion and malunion and these are commonly associated with shortening.

In the present series, there was a slight male preponderance with a male to female ratio of 1.15:1 . Dada and colleagues¹⁸ reported a male to female ratio of 1.3:1 , OlaOlorun et al¹⁹ reported a ratio of 2:1 , Ikpeme et al⁷ and Solagberu²⁰ reported a ratio of 2.1:1 ,while Ogunlusi and colleagues¹¹ reported a ratio of 2.6:1. However, Memon et al¹² ,Nwadiaro and colleagues²¹ and Alonge et al²² all had a ratio of 3.1:1 . On another hand , the average age reported by Solagberu²⁰ was 28 years and that by Dada et al¹⁸ was 29.49 years while the average age reported by Ogunlusi et al¹¹ was 38.4 years and the median age in the series by OlaOlorun and colleagues¹⁹ was 36 years . In our series ,the average age was 33.75 years . The young in the

society and especially males are active and are thus prone to trauma which is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in those under 40 years of age²³.

Those who had attained secondary and tertiary levels of education in the present series were in the majority (81.69%). As reported by others^{7,18}, those with at least secondary education made up 65% or more of their series. This implies that the utilization of the traditional bonesetter for fracture and dislocation treatment cuts across all educational strata and includes well educated people.

Most of the injuries in our series occurred in the lower extremity. For fractures, it frequently involved the femur (18.60%) and the tibia (17.44%) while in the upper extremity, the humerus (15.12%) and the radius (9.30%) were most affected. The dislocations in the upper extremity occurred mostly in the elbow (3.49%) and in the lower extremity; the hip (3.49%) was affected. In the series by Ogunlusi et al¹¹, the most frequent fractures were those of the femur (24.3%) and the tibia (24.3%) in the lower extremity and both radius and ulna (15.2%) in the upper extremity followed by the humerus (12.1%). The dislocations they noticed were in the hip, elbow and wrist. Similarly, in the series by OlaOlorun and colleagues¹⁹, the femur was most frequently fractured followed by the tibia and humerus. In the report by Dada et al¹⁸, their most frequent fractures were in the humerus (29%) with almost half being supracondylar fractures in children, and fractures of the femur and tibia each constituted 16.9%. Similar to this, in the report by Memon and colleagues¹², humeral fractures constituted 32.75% of their series with supracondylar fractures alone making up 22.41% while in the lower extremity their most common fracture was that of the tibia (18.96%) followed by the femur (13.79%). The difference in the various series may be partly explained by the varying contribution of the predominant age group affected. In the series by Memon et al¹², their common affected age groups were the 1st and 2nd decades and in the series by Dada and colleagues¹⁸, those in the 1st decade constituted as much as 21.5% of their series. In our series, those in the 1st decade only made up 8.45%. Supracondylar fractures of the humerus are common in the 1st decade. The aetiology of the injury could also contribute to the difference.

The most frequent aetiology in the present series was road traffic accidents (42.25%) and these were mostly motorcycle-related, followed by falls (30.99%) and sports injuries (9.86%). In the report by Ikpeme et al⁷, road traffic injuries constituted 73% with motorcycle related accidents being commonest (61.2%) followed by falls (14.3%). In the series by Memon and colleagues¹², road traffic accidents were the commonest (63.7%) followed by domestic fall (36.2%). The series by Dada et al¹⁸, also reported road traffic accidents as the commonest (47%) followed by falls (36%). Other causes of injury included sports, civil violence and gunshot injuries. All these point to the fact that trauma, especially due to road traffic accidents, is an important cause of injuries worldwide.

The duration of treatment with the traditional bonesetters varied in different studies. The average duration in the studies by Ogunlusi et al¹¹ and OlaOlorun et al¹⁹ were similar (10.8 weeks), while that reported by Memon and colleagues¹² was lower (9 weeks) and that reported by Dada et al¹⁸ was higher

(37.4 weeks). In the present study, 69% of our patients spent 4 months or more with the traditional bonesetters before presentation. The long duration of treatment with the TBS, which is enough time for most of the fractures to have united, implies loss of useful time and also significant financial loss¹. Traditional bonesetters are mostly illiterate and thus lack knowledge of anatomy, physiology, pathology and radiography^{6,8,12}. As a result these and their techniques of frequent massages, re-splinting, scarification and very tight bandaging, complications with are largely limb and life threatening are unavoidable.

The most frequent complication seen in the present series was nonunion (36.47%) and mostly affected the femur and tibia. In the series by Alonge and colleagues²², their commonest complication was nonunion which made up 8 of their 25 cases (32%) and the femur was most frequently affected. In the study by Ogunlusi et al¹¹, nonunion was the most common reason (55.1%) responsible for the patients seeking modern orthopaedic services. The picture was also similar in the study by Dada et al¹⁸, in which nonunion one of the two was most common complications with each making up 16.1%. However, it was the second most common complication in the series by OlaOlorun and colleagues¹⁹ (25%), Solagberu²⁰ (20.0%) and Memon et al¹² (12.06%). In the study by Oginni¹⁷, on the use of traditional splints, nonunion was the second most common complication (25%), and even in the study by Onuminya²⁴ on the performance of a trained traditional bonesetter, nonunion was still a significant complication. A known predisposing factor to nonunion is poor splintage with excessive mobility at the fracture site²⁵. This plays out in the technique of immobilization by traditional bonesetters as the joints above and below the site of fracture is not usually included in the splint. Also, the materials that are used for splinting are rather weak to support fractures and the frequent removal and re-application are contributory. In the thigh, due to the muscle bulk and the fact that their techniques do not overcome the muscle strength, there is a tendency to soft tissue interposition which is known to cause nonunion²⁵.

The second most common complication in our series was malunion (24.71%). It was also the second most common complication in the series by Ikpeme et al⁷ (15%), Ogunlusi and colleagues¹¹ (40% when the upper and lower limbs are combined), Nwadiaro et al²¹ (21.5%) and in that by Alonge et al²² [6 of the 25 cases (24%)]. In the study by Dada et al¹⁸, it was one of the two most common complications (16.1%). However, malunion was the commonest complication in the series by Memon and colleagues¹² (25.86%), OlaOlorun et al¹⁹ (58.3%) and Solagberu²⁰ (38.3%). It was also the commonest complication in the study by Onuminya²⁴ on a trained bonesetter and in that by Oginni¹⁷ on the use of traditional splints for bonesetting (50% of the fractures that united had malunion). The fact that the bonesetters do not utilize anaesthesia to reduce fractures makes the attempted reduction inadequate. Furthermore in the event of displacement at the fracture site with overriding the development of malunion becomes inevitable since the utilized splints do not adequately hold the reduction.

Shortening was a significant finding among the patients that had nonunion and malunion, making up 31.76%. Shortening with its consequent limb length deformity has also been

reported by other workers. Oginni¹⁷ in his study reported shortening of 6cm to 20cm and Dada et al¹⁸ reported shortening in 10.1% in their series. As the techniques of bonesetting do not adequately take care of overriding of displaced fragments at the fracture site and soft tissue contraction will follow the overriding if the duration is long, shortening will be associated with both malunion and nonunion.

Although delayed union was seen in only 1.18% of the present series, in other studies it contributed significantly. In the series by Solagberu²⁰ it constituted 17.3% of the complications and 3.6% in that by Dada et al¹⁸. The factors that contribute to nonunion also play out in delayed union.

In the present series, chronic joint dislocation was the third most common complication (9.41%). All the 13 dislocations in the study by Dada and colleagues¹⁸ remained unreduced. In the series by Alonge et al²², 4 of the 25 patients had chronic joint dislocations. Taking into consideration that bonesetters do not utilize anaesthesia in their reduction⁸, they are unlikely to have adequate relaxation to reduce dislocated joints and the joints will thus remain unreduced.

Other joint complications in our series included joint stiffness (4.71%), ankylosis (5.88%), arthrosis/arthrits (3.53%) and osteonecrosis (2.35%) of the femoral and humeral heads. In the report by Ikpeme and colleagues⁷, joint stiffness constituted 11.6% and joint contractures 6.7%. In the series by Dada et al¹⁸, joint stiffness constituted 10.8% of the complications, osteoarthritis from degenerative changes made up 6.6%, avascular necrosis constituted 5.4%, joint instability made up 1.8% and bony ankylosis constituted 1.2%. OlaOlorun and colleagues¹⁹ reported fixed joint deformity in 2.8% of their 36 patients while Nwadiaro et al²¹ reported stiffness/ankylosis in 15.9%. Joint stiffness occurs when the lubrication of the joint breaks down. The joint could be injured, a haemarthrosis forms and leads to synovial adhesions²⁵. The stiffness is more often due to oedema and fibrosis of the capsule, the ligaments and muscles around the joint or adhesion of the soft tissues to each other or the underlying bone²⁵. In ankylosis, there is usually a complete loss of useful joint movement^{25,26}. However, if this is due to fibrosis (fibrous ankylosis), some trace of movement remains, but in rare situations the whole joint movement can be abolished by bony union (bony ankylosis). Osteoarthritis can occur with damage to the articular cartilage and even malunion can alter the mechanics of a nearby joint and lead to secondary osteoarthritis²⁵.

Chronic osteomyelitis was a complication in 3.53% of the present series. Chronic osteomyelitis constituted as much as 22.4% of the complications in the series by Nwadiaro et al²¹ (this was actually the most common complication in their series). Solagberu²⁰ reported bone infection in 15.6% of the complications in his series while it was seen in 2 of the 25 cases in the series by Alonge et al²². OlaOlorun and colleagues¹⁹ reported it in 2.8%, Ogunlusi et al¹¹ in 3.5%, Dada and colleagues¹⁸ in 3.6%, and Memon et al¹² reported bone infection in 5.17%. Even in the report on a trained traditional bone setter²⁴, post-traumatic osteomyelitis was significant, constituting 5.0% in the trained and 12.5% in untrained bonesetters practice outcome. Chronic osteomyelitis is a significant morbidity. The application of herbal concoction

creams (which has no consideration for antisepsis or asepsis) and the practice of scarification over the fracture site predispose to the development of bone infection. In addition the process of scarification also converts close fractures to open fractures.

The practice of scarification, massage with herbal concoctions and creams as well as tight splintage to prevent movement of fracture fragments creates a wide tourniquet at high pressure and results in vascular compromise, compartment syndrome, ischaemia of muscles, and nerves with pressure on the skin and bony prominences. This sets up a cascade resulting in complications that may terminate in gangrene or death^{15,27}.

In the present series, pressure ulcer was a complication in 1.18%. Pressure ulcer was seen in one of the 25 patients in the series by Alonge et al²². Pressure on the skin from tight splintage especially over bony prominence is responsible for this.

Although our series did not have any patient with compartment syndrome, which is part of the cascade that could end up in gangrene, Dada et al¹⁸ reported it in 0.6% of their patients while, Alonge and colleagues²² reported it in one of their 25 patients along with Memon et al¹² who reported it in 10.34% of their subjects.

Neuropathy was a complication in 2.35% of the present series; it involved the radial nerve in one case and the common peroneal nerve in another. In the series by Alonge et al²², a case of sciatic nerve palsy was seen. Although the nerve involvement could have been as a result of the initial injury, it is possible that the usually very tight splintage contributed to its occurrence.

In the present series, Volkmann's ischaemic contracture was seen in 2.35%. Alonge and colleagues²² reported this in 2 of their 25 cases while Memon et al¹² reported it in 3.44%, OlaOlorun and colleagues¹⁹ in 2.8%, and Dada et al¹⁸ reported it in 0.6% of their series. The tight splintage with vascular compromise and muscle ischaemia also contributes to this.

One of the most widely reported complications of traditional bone setters treatment is bone setter's gangrene^{27,28}. It is a coinage used to describe gangrene, largely avoidable, arising from activities of traditional bone setters attempting to manage fractures. In our series, limb gangrene was a complication in 2.35%. In the series by Onuminya et al²⁸, 15 of their 25 cases had limb gangrene. Several other workers have reported this complication within and outside Africa. Ofiaeli²⁹ reported limb gangrene from TBS in 2 of the 3 patients requiring amputation for this in a rural hospital in Nigeria, Nwankwo and Katchy³⁰ reported 15 consecutive cases of limb gangrene from TBS in a private hospital in Nigeria and Bickler and Sanno-Duanda³¹ reported 9 cases of bone setter's gangrene in children in Banjul, Gambia. In the series by Memon et al¹² bone setter's gangrene constituted 6.89% of the complications they saw. Alonge and colleagues²² reported TBS gangrene in 2 of their 25 patients, Dada et al¹⁸ reported it in 6.6% of their series, Solagberu²⁰ reported it in 4.7% of his series and OlaOlorun et al¹⁹ reported it in 2.8% of their series. Even in the report by Onuminya²⁴ on the on the trained bone setter, limb gangrene was recorded. As a result of the gangrene,

amputations are commonly performed. Traditional bone setting is a major risk factor in limb amputation³². Although bonesetter's gangrene was the indication for major limb amputation in 60% of cases^{8,33}, Garba and Deshi³² from Zaria reported its contribution as 38.7% of amputations and Umaru et al¹⁶ from Maiduguri reported it as 31.7%. However, in a review of extremity amputations in Nigeria, Thanni and Tade³⁴ reported that it contributed overall to 23% of the amputations. Eshete¹⁵ in Ethiopia reported gangrene from TBS in 25 of 49 amputations before and 7 out of 25 after the traditional bone setters were trained. In Nepal, gangrene from the practice of traditional healers has been reported³⁵ and even in a report from Turkey³⁶, 3 of their 475 amputations were from mistreatment by traditional bone setters. Surprisingly, in some of these cases of bonesetter's gangrene, there were only soft tissue injuries without fractures; a situation of avoidable loss of limb and its associated disability.

Other reported complications from traditional bone setters treatment include tetanus^{22,37}, cellulitis¹², wound infection¹⁸, heterotopic calcification¹⁸, femoral artery aneurysm³⁸ and even death^{1,21}.

The most frequent interventions in our series were open reduction and internal fixation, manipulation under anaesthesia and open reductions alone among others. Other workers have also used similar interventions for definitive treatment¹⁸⁻²².

In the present series, 78.87% spent 4 weeks or less in hospital. If the patients had presented in hospital from the onset and the appropriate procedure offered to them, they would have returned earlier to their activities and saved themselves a lot of financial losses. Patients therefore need to be encouraged to present early in hospital and this will require a lot of education. There was no mortality in the present series. However, Nwadiaro et al²¹ reported a mortality of 5.5% and Nwankwo and Katchy³⁰ in their series on limb gangrene reported a mortality of 26%. This was usually due to gross sepsis and toxemia.

In Nigeria, it has been estimated that over 70% of the rural population rely on traditional bonesetters for primary fracture care⁸ and up to 85% of patients with fractures present first to traditional bonesetters before coming to hospital². There have thus been advocacy for incorporation of traditional bonesetters into the primary care system^{2,8}. In as much as this is a good proposal as there are not enough orthopaedic surgeons to cover the whole country, the deficiencies of traditional bonesetting needs to be taken into consideration. In China, traditional Chinese methods have been integrated with orthodox methods^{39,40}. For instance, in the treatment of forearm fractures, simple (close) fractures of the middle and lower thirds of the shaft of the radius or ulna or of both bones have been found suitable for the traditional methods while compound (open) fractures, comminuted fractures, complicated fractures with either blood vessel or nerve injuries and fractures complicated by separation of the inferior radio-ulnar joint or a badly damaged interosseous membrane are unsuitable and are referred for orthodox treatment⁴⁰. This is not the situation in Nigeria, where there is still a lot of secrecy in the practice of bonesetting^{7,18}, and their methods are not subjected to scientific scrutiny. Experience with training of traditional bonesetters^{15,24} have shown significant reduction in complications from their

practice but it did not completely eliminate them, especially gangrene which is avoidable in most instances. Therefore, more needs to be done to achieve this integration in Nigeria.

This study has limitations. This is the experience of a single private ortho-trauma facility and the sample size is small. These will limit the inference that can be drawn from it. However, when the sample sizes of other published works are considered, the sample size in the present study is significant.

CONCLUSION

Complications following treatment of fractures and dislocations by traditional bone setters are common. The common complications include nonunion, malunion both associated with shortening as well as chronic joint dislocation. The largely avoidable complication of limb gangrene still occurs. There is a need for basic training of traditional bonesetters emphasizing their limits, if they are to be successfully integrated into the primary care system.

REFERENCES

1. Ekere AU. A review on the challenge of traditional bone setting to orthodox ortho/trauma practice in the developing world. *The Nigerian Health Journal* 2004; 4: 219-223.
2. Omololu AB, Ogunlade SO, Gopaldasani VK. The practice of traditional bonesetting. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2008; 466:2392-2398.
3. Green SA. Orthopaedic surgeons. Inheritors of tradition. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1999; (363):258-263.
4. Romer F. Remarks on manipulative surgery or bonesetting. *Postgrad Med J* 1926; 1: 110-115.
5. Hatipoglu S, Tatar K. The strengths and weaknesses of Turkish bone-setters. *World Health Forum* 1995; 16: 203-205.
6. Udosen AM, Otei OO, Onuba O. Role of traditional bone setters in Africa: experience in Calabar, Nigeria. *Ann Afr Med* 2006; 5: 170-173.
7. Ikpeme IA, Udosen AM, Okereke-Okpa I. Patients' perception of traditional bone setting in Calabar. *Port Harcourt Med J* 2007; 1: 104-108.
8. Onuminya JE. The role of the traditional bonesetter in primary fracture care in Nigeria. *S Afr Med J* 2004; 94: 652-658.
9. Paul BD. The Mayan bonesetter as a sacred specialist. *Ethnology* 1976; 15: 77-81.
10. Nwadiaro HC, Nwadiaro PO, Kidmas RA. Principles of traditional bone setting in the middle belt of Nigeria: a critical appraisal. *Niger J Surg Res* 2004; 6:114-118.
11. Ogunlusi JD, Okem IC, Oginni LM. Why patients patronize traditional bone setters. *Internet J Orthop Surg* 2007; 4(2).
12. Memon FA, Saeed G, Fazal B, Bhutto I, Laghari MA, Siddique KA, Shaikh AR. Complications of fracture treatment by traditional bone setters at Hyderabad. *J Pak Orthop Assoc* 2009; 21: 58-64.
13. Unnikrishnan PM, Santhanakrishnan R, Parivallal T, Hafeel A. Traditional orthopaedic practices of southern India a pilot study. In: Balasubramanian AV, Devi TD, eds. *Traditional knowledge systems of India and Sri Lanka. Papers presented at the COMPAS Asian Regional Workshop on Traditional knowledge systems and their current relevance and applications.* 3-5 July 2006,

- Bangalore . COMPAS Series on Worldview and Sciences 5 .
Chennai : Centre for Indian Knowledge Systems ,
September 2006 ; 148-155 .
14. Ariès MJ , Joosten H , Wegdam HH , van der Geest S .
Fracture treatment by bonesetters in central Ghana :
patients explain their choices and experiences . *Trop Med
Int Health* 2007; 12 :564-574 .
 15. Eshete M . The prevention of traditional bone setter's
gangrene . *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2005; 87 : 102-103 .
 16. Umaru RH , Gali BM , Ali N . Role of inappropriate
traditional splintage in limb amputation in Maiduguri ,
Nigeria . *Ann Afr Med* 2004; 3 :138-140 .
 17. Oginni LM . The use of traditional fracture splint for bone
setting . *Niger Med Pract* 1992; 24 :49-51 .
 18. Dada A , Giwa SO , Yinusa W , Ugbeye M , Gbadegesin S .
Complications of treatment of musculoskeletal injuries by
bone setters . *West Afr J Med* 2009; 28 : 333-337 .
 19. OlaOlorun DA , Oladiran IO , Adeniran A . Complications
of fracture treatment by traditional bonesetters in
southwest Nigeria . *Fam Pract* 2001; 18 : 635-637 .
 20. Solagberu BA . Long bone fractures treated by traditional
bonesetters : a study of patients' behaviour . *Trop Doct*
2005; 35 : 106-108 .
 21. Nwadiaro HC , Nwadiaro PO , Kidmas AT , Ozoilo KN .
Outcome of traditional bone setting in the middle belt of
Nigeria . *Niger J Surg Res* 2006; 8 : 44-48 .
 22. Alonge TO , Dongo AE , Nottidge TE , Omololu AB ,
Ogunlade SO . Traditional bonesetters in south western
Nigeria friends or foes? *West Afr J Med* 2004; 23 : 81-84 .
 23. Peden M , Scurfield R , Sleet D , Mohan D , Hyder AA ,
Jarawan E , Mathers C (Eds) . World report on road traffic
injury prevention . Geneva : World Health Organization ,
2004 .
 24. Onuminya JE . Performance of a trained traditional
bonesetter in primary fracture care . *S Afr Med J* 2006; 96 :
320-322 .
 25. Solomon L , Warwick D , Nayagam S . *Apley's system of
orthopaedics and fractures* , 8th edition . London : Arnold ,
2001 .
 26. Sikorski JM . *Understanding orthopaedics* . London :
Butterworths , 1986 .
 27. Nwadiaro HC . Bone setters' gangrene . *Niger J Med* 2007;
16 : 8-10 .
 28. Onuminya JE , Onabowale BO , Obekpa PO , Ihezue CH .
Traditional bone setter's gangrene . *Int Orthop* 1999; 23 :
111-112 .
 29. Ofiaeli RO . Complications of methods of fracture
treatment used by traditional healers : a report of three
cases necessitating amputation at Ihiala , Nigeria . *Trop
Doct* 1991; 21 : 182-183 .
 30. Nwankwo OE , Katchy AU . Limb gangrene following
treatment of limb injury by traditional bone setter (TBS) : a
report of 15 consecutive cases . *Niger Postgrad Med J* 2005;
12 : 57-60 .
 31. Bickler SW , Sanno-Duanda B . Bone setter's gangrene . *J
Pediatr Surg* 2000; 35 : 1431-1433 .
 32. Garba ES , Deshi PJ . Traditional bone setting : a risk factor
in limb amputation . *East Afr Med J* 1998; 75 : 553-555 .
 33. Onuminya JE , Obekpa PO , Ihezue HC , Ukegbu ND ,
Onabowale BO . Major amputations in Nigeria : a plea to
educate traditional bone setters . *Trop Doct* 2000; 30 : 133-
135 .
 34. Thanni LO , Tade AO . Extremity amputation in Nigeria : a
review of indications and mortality . *Surgeon* 2007; 5 : 213-
218 .
 35. Strowbridge NF , Ryan JM . Inappropriate traditional
treatment resulting in limb amputation . *J R Army Med
Corps* 1987; 133 : 171-174 .
 36. Dogan A , Sungur I , Bilgic S , Uslu M , Atik B , Tan O ,
Ozgokce S , et al . [Amputations in eastern Turkey (Van) :
a multicenter epidemiological study] . *Acta Orthop
Traumatol Turc* 2008; 42 : 53-58 .
 37. Udosen AM , Ugare UG , Ekpo R . Generalized tetanus
complicating lower limb fractures managed by traditional
bone healers . *Trop Doct* 2005; 35 : 237-239 .
 38. Udosen AM , Ugare G , Etiuma AU , Akpan SG , Bassey
OO . Femoral artery aneurysm a complication of
traditional bone setting . *Niger J Surg* 2004; 2 : 63-65 .
 39. Shang TY , Gu YW , Dong FH . Treatment of forearm
bone fractures by an integrated method of traditional