

4292

UPTAKE OF CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING AMONG FEMALE TEACHERS IN PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOL IN EGOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF EDO STATE

Timothy A. Ehwarieme*1, Anwuli Emina2

¹Department of Nursing Science, School of Basic Medical Science, University of Benin, Benin City Edo State; ²Department of Nursing Science, Faculty of Basic Medical and Health Science, Benson

Idahosa University, Benin City, Edo State

*Corresponding Author: Timothy A. Ehwarieme; Email: timy4real12@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer among women worldwide, the level of knowledge and uptake of cervical cancer screening among women especially secondary school teachers in the country is unclear due to lack of reliable population-based cancer registry or prevention program databases. The study assessed knowledge, attitude, uptake of cervical cancer screening and its associated factors among female secondary school teachers in Egor local government area of Edo state.

Method: A descriptive cross sectional survey design was adopted, using simple random technique to select participants and a structured questionnaire as instrument for data collection. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics and hypothesis was tested using chi-square and multiple logistic regression at 5% level of significance.

Result: Only 32(14.5%) of the respondents has good knowledge of cervical cancer screening, 125(56.8%) had negative attitude toward cervical cancer screening, while 197(89.9%) has a low uptake of cervical cancer screening. Participants between (25-34) (OR=15.20, C.I= 2.57 - 89.93) are more likely to take part in uptake of cervical cancer screening than those who were 55years and above, married participants (OR=18.82, C.I = 2.67 - 132.75) are more likely to take part in uptake of cervical cancer screening than those who are divorced.

Conclusion: There is poor knowledge, negative attitude and low uptake of cervical cancer screening among female secondary school teachers in study area, there is need for health care providers to



597-4292

ensure that secondary school teachers have a proper understanding and explore the uptake of cervical cancer screening service.

Keywords: knowledge, Uptake, Factor, Cervical cancer screening, Female teachers, Secondary school.

INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is currently the most common leading cause of death in developing countries which is a widely recognized gynaecological disease and a second leading cause for malignant growth demise among females¹. Every minute around the world a woman dies of cervical cancer². In 2015, about 75,000 new cases were reported in Africa cutting across all countries³. Cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer and also the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in women globally. Also, with over 570,000 new cases documented in 2018, 90% of which were recorded in the developing countries, and 311,000 deaths were documented⁴. According to the Cervical Cancer Global Crisis Card, Nigeria ranks 5th among countries with regards to death count from cervical cancer, after India, China, Brazil and Bangladesh⁵. In Nigeria, cervical cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death, one woman dies from cervical cancer every single hour and 9,000 women every year making it a public health issue⁶. Cervical cancer has been reported as one of the few preventable human cancers because its prevention is based on the early diagnosis of precancerous lesions which can be detected through cervical cancer screening⁷.

Cervical cancer screening is the testing of all women at risk of cervical cancer, most of whom will be without symptoms, the screening aims to detect precancerous changes, which, if not treated, may lead to cancer⁸. Several tests can be used in screening for cervical cancer but Pap smear (cytology) is the only test that has been used in large populations and that has been shown to reduce cervical cancer incidence and mortality⁹. Other less invasive techniques have been developed for rapid screening of cancer of the cervix which are Visual Inspection with Acetic Acid (VIA) and Visual Inspection with Lugol's Iodine (VILI). Although these methods are faster and less cumbersome, they have been discovered to be less sensitive compared to cytology examination through Pap smear and this could lead to false result and can lead to immense psychological problem and wrong treatment¹⁰.



L597-4292

The level of knowledge and utilization of cervical cytological services among women in the country is unclear due to lack of reliable population-based cancer registry or prevention program databases¹¹. Over the years, studies report that uptake of cervical cancer screening has remained low. A study conducted in Benin City showed that there is poor knowledge and practice of the cervical cancer screening¹². Also, another study in Abakaliki showed that despite high level of awareness of cervical cancer screening, the utilization remained low¹³. Despite effort made by the federal government in the commencement of cancer prevention programme in various federal health institutions in the country, studies from various parts of the country still report low level of knowledge of cervical cancer screening and uptake among public secondary school teachers, despite relatively high level of cervical cancer awareness¹⁴. Secondary school teachers have a role to play in the life of a female teenage girl child in educating and caring for them because this is the stage where they start becoming sexually exposed and developing emotional needs. A high uptake rate of cervical cancer screening among secondary teachers will be passed on to the students because knowing the benefit of cervical screening they will want their students to partake in it during their sexually active life. Studies have been conducted on different populations on the uptake of cervical cancer screening but there are dearth of empirical literature focusing on secondary school teachers who are one of the key stakeholders when it comes to mentoring the adolescent girl child. Hence, this study is conducted to assess the knowledge, attitude and uptake of cervical cancer screening among female teachers in selected public secondary schools in Egor local government area of Edo state.

Specific objectives of the study are therefore to assess the level of knowledge, determine the attitude, assess the level of uptake and ascertain factors affecting the level of uptake of cervical cancer screening of female secondary school teachers in Egor local government area of Edo State.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design: The study adopted a descriptive cross sectional survey design in selected public secondary schools in Egor local government area of Edo state. Egor local government area is one of the thirteen local government areas that make up Edo state. There are 12 public schools and 15



1597-4293

private schools. The twelve public secondary schools were used for the study. these school are; Egor secondary school, Federal government girls' college, Iyoba girls' secondary school, Government science technical college, Ohonre grammer school Boys College, Eweka secondary school, Uwelu secondary school, Uselu Secondary school, Use secondary school, Edo boys high school, and Evbereke secondary school all in Egor local government area of Edo state.

Target Population/ Sample size: the study population were four hundred and ten (410) female teachers in twelve (12) public secondary school in Egor Local government area of Edo state.

The sample size for this study is Two hundred and twenty two (222) female teachers from secondary schools in Egor local government area of Edo state which was gotten from the total population of four hundred and ten (410), using Taro Yamane, (1967) formula as shown below:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(d)^2}$$
 Where n = sample size, N = population size, D = level of precision (confidence

interval), N = 410, D = 0.05

$$n = \frac{410}{1+410(0.05)^2} = 410 = 410$$

$$1+410(0.05)^2 = 1+1.025 = 2.025$$

n = 202

Attrition ratio of 20 which is 10% of the sample size 202+20=222



Table 1: Sample size distribution by proportion

Proportional sampling was used to allocate this sample size to the different schools as shown below in table 1.

	Name of schools	Number	Sample from the Per		Percentage
		of female	schools		distribution
		teachers			among schools
1	Edo boys high school	47	47/410x222	25	11.3%
2	Egor secondary school	22	22/410x222	12	5.4%
3	Evbuotubu secondary school	38	38/410x222	21	9.4%
4	Evbereke secondary school	17	17/410x222	9	4%
5	Federal government girls college	49	49/410x222	27	12.1%
6	Government science technical college	21	21/410x222	11	5%
7	Iyoba girls secondary school	34	34/410x222	19	8.6%
8	Uwelu secondary school	43	43/410x222	23	10.4%
9	Uhonre grammer school boys college	29	29/410x222	16	7.1%
10	Uselu secondary school	28	28/410x222	15	6.8%
11	Eweka secondary school	39	39/410x222	21	9.5%
12	Use secondary school	42	42/410x222	23	10.4%
	Total	410		222	100%

Sampling Technique: A simple random sampling technique which is a type of probability sampling was used to recruit the participants into the study. A YES or NO papers were placed in a bag wrapped. Those that picked yes were recruited for this study and were repeated in all the schools.

Instrument for Data Collection: A self-constructed questionnaire was used for collecting data from these female teachers. The questionnaire was divided into five sections

Section A: contained the demographic data of the participants, **Section B**: consist of questions on knowledge of cervical cancer and its screening, **Section C**: consist of attitudinal questions on



1597-429:

cervical cancer screening, **Section D:** Uptake of cervical cancer screening, **Section E:** Factors affecting the uptake of cervical cancer

Validity/Reliability of Instrument: The reliability of the questionnaire was determined using split-half model of test of internal consistency. A pretest was conducted giving 20(10% of the sample size) teachers' questionnaires in another school and the Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.718 (>0.7) for knowledge of cervical cancer screening, 0.814(>0.7) for attitude of cervical cancer screening, 0.732(>0.7) for uptake of cervical cancer screening and 0.758(>0.7) for factors that affect uptake of cervical cancer screening which suggests that the reliability of the test instrument is strong.

Method of Data Collection: Data were collected with the help of three research assistants who were trained in the act of obtaining data. The questionnaires were administered to the participants that have been randomly selected after informed consent have been obtained. This was done each day during the break period in their respective offices after informed consent have been obtained.

Method of Data Analysis: The data was analyzed using simple descriptive statistics of frequencies and percentages. The coded data was analyzed with the help of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version-21.

Ethical Consideration: Ethical clearance with protocol number *PRS/DF/141/42* was obtained from the ethical and research committee of ministry of education in Edo state. Confidentiality was assured by ensuring anonymity of the respondents. The respondents were given the option to pull out of the study at any point. None of the participants were unduly inconvenienced throughout the period of the study. It was also explained to them that all information given will be treated with utmost confidentiality. Permission to carry out the study was sought and obtained from the school heads with reference letter from Ethics and Research Committee.



1597-4292

RESULTS

Table 2: Demographic data of respondents

	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
25-34 years	118	53.6
35-44 years	57	25.9
45-54 years	33	15.0
55 and above	12	5.5
Marital Status		
Single	36	16.4
Married	176	80.0
Divorce	8	3.6
Religion		
Christian	204	92.7
Islam	16	7.3
Tribe		
Bini	191	86.8
Igbo	15	6.8
Yoruba	8	3.6
Others	6	2.7
Level of education		
NCE	1	0.5
HND	28	12.7
B.Sc	189	85.9
Masters	2	0.9

Table 2 shows demographic data of respondents. Majority 53.5% were within the age range of 25-34 years, most respondents 80% were married, overwhelming majority 92.7% of the respondents were Christians, 86.8% were Bini's, 85.9% were B.Sc holders.



1597-4292

Table 3: Knowledge of Cervical Cancer

	Wrong	Correct
Knowledge items	answer	answer
	F (%)	F (%)
1.Cervical cancer is a cancer that affects which of the following organ	28(12.7)	192(87.3)
2.Cervical cancer is caused by which of the following virus	16(7.3)	204(92.7)
3.Risk factors for cervical cancer are except	159(72.3)	61(27.7)
4.The following are symptoms of cervical cancer except	67(30.5)	153(69.5)
5.The following are type of cervical cancer screening except	41(18.6)	179(81.4)
6. Which of the following is referred as the gold standard for cervical	82(37.3)	120(62.7)
cancer screening	02(37.3)	138(62.7)
7. Which women are eligible for cervical cancer screening	198(90.0)	22(10.0)
8. Which of the following can be described as a pap smear test	129(58.6)	91(41.4)
9.At what state can cervical cancer be treated	132(60.0)	88(40.0)

Level of knowledge

Classification	Range of score (%)	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	0-49.9	60	27.3%
Average	50-69.9	128	58.2%
Good	70-100.	32	14.5%

In this study 60(27.3%) female teachers have poor knowledge, 128(58.2%) female teachers have a fair knowledge and 32(14.5%) has a good knowledge.



1597-4292

Table 4: Attitude of Cervical Cancer Screening

	SD	D	Α	SA	\overline{x}	St.D	Rmk
1.It is necessary for all eligible females to go for	6	117	63	34	2.57	0.78	+
cervical cancer screening	(2.7)	(53.2)	(28.6)	(15.5)			
2. Screening can help in early detection and better	69	63	49	39	2.26	1.09	-
treatment	(31.4)	(28.6)	(22.3)	(17.7)			
3. Screening procedure can be painful	51	34	115	20	2.47	0.95	+
	(23.2)	(15.5)	(52.3)	(9.1)			
4.It is against my religious belief and cultural values	59	44	83	34	2.42	1.05	+
to go for screening	(26.8)	(20.0)	(37.7)	(15.5)			
5.It is not possible for a person to get cervical cancer	63	42	89	26	2.35	1.02	+
therefore no need of going for screening	(28.6)	(19.1)	(40.5)	(11.8)			
6.Cervical cancer is hereditary and the screening is	43	44	105	28	2.54	0.95	-
only undergone by those that have it in the family	(19.5)	(20.0)	(47.7)	(12.7)			
7.Cervical cancer affects promiscuous women only	43	36	117	24	2.55	0.93	-
	(19.5)	(16.4)	(53.2)	(10.9)			
8.Going for pap smear is expensive	33	20	137	30	2.75	0.88	-
	(15.0)	(9.1)	(62.3)	(13.6)			
9. Fear of the results prevents me from going for the	31	34	135	20	2.65	0.83	-
screening	(14.1)	(15.5)	(61.4)	(9.1)			
10.It is embarrassing to undergo screening	34	37	118	31	2.66	0.90	-
procedures	(15.5)	(16.8)	(53.6)	(14.1)			
11.Every female is at risk of getting cervical cancer	39	50	117	14	2.48	0.86	+
hence no need to go for the screening	(17.7)	(22.7)	(53.2)	(6.4)			
12.Cervical cancer screening will affect my female	35	38	106	41	2.70	0.95	-
reproductive organ	(15.9)	(17.3)	(48.2)	(18.6)			
Overall attitude					2.53		+

For questions one and two average score greater than 2.5 was considered positive attitude and those less than 2.5 was considered negative attitude for questions three to twelve average score greater than



L597-4292

2.5 was considered negative attitude and less than 2.5 was considered positive attitude. (+) stands for positive factor while (-) stands for negative factor. SD (strongly disagree = 4) D (Disagree = 3) A (Agree = 2) SA (Strongly agree = 1).

Summary of level of attitude towards cervical cancer screening, shows that most respondents 125(56.8%) had negative attitude while 95(43.2%) had a positive attitude. However, the overall average score show that the overall attitude is negative (2.53>2.50).

Table 5: Uptake of Cervical Cancer Screening

	Frequency	Percentage
Have you gone for cervical cancer screening		
Yes	23	10.5
No	197	89.5
How frequently have you gone for cervical cancer screening		
Once in fifteen years	4	17.4
Once in ten years	7	30.4
Once in five years	12	52.2
Where was the cervical cancer screening done		
Federal hospital	2	8.7
State hospital	1	4.3
Community hospital or outreach	18	78.3
Herbal home	2	8.7
Who performed the cervical cancer screening		
Nurse	4	17.4
Doctor	11	47.8
Herbalist	8	34.8
What method of cervical cancer screening was used		
Pap smear	7	30.4
Visual inspection with acetic acid	1	4.3



L597-4292

Inspection with lugol iodine	3	13.0
HPV testing	12	52.3

Level of uptake of cervical cancer				
Classification	Criteria score	Frequency	Percentage (%)	
Low	Never gone for screening in the	197	89.5	
	past 15 years of adult age			
Moderate	Gone for screening once in the past	11	5.0	
	15 years of adult age			
High	Gone for screening twice in the	12	5.5	
	past 15 years of adult age			

This was classified as low uptake for those that has never gone for cervical screening, moderate for those that has gone for screening once in 10 - 15 years and high for those that have gone once in 5 years.

The shows the level of uptake of cervical cancer screening, among the respondents 12 (5.5%) has a high uptake of cervical cancer screening, 11(5%) has a moderate uptake of cervical cancer screening and 197(89.5%) has a low uptake of cervical cancer screening.

Table 6: Factors affecting the Uptake of Cervical Cancer Screening

	SD	D	A	SA	\overline{x}	St.D	Rem
							ark
Lack of knowledge on cervical cancer screening	35	33	118	34	2.69	0.92	Facto
	(15.9)	(15.0)	(53.6)	(15.5)			r
Partner disapproval	18	5	168	29	2.95	0.69	Facto
	(8.2)	(2.3)	(76.4)	(13.2)			r
Not feeling at risk	41	18	117	44	2.75	0.98	Facto
	(18.6)	(8.2)	(53.2)	(20.0)			r



Uptake of Cervical screening among female teachers, TA Ehwarieme § A Emina ISSN

1597-4292

Lack of interest	33	38	128	21	2.62	0.85	Facto
	(15.0)	(17.3)	(58.2)	(9.5)			r
Religion	38	26	136	20	2.63	0.87	Facto
	(17.3)	(11.8)	(61.8)	(9.1)			r
Outcome	35	31	132	22	2.64	0.87	Facto
	(15.9)	(14.1)	(60.0)	(10.0)			r
Unavailability of screening center	26	31	123	40	2.80	0.87	Facto
	(11.8)	(14.1)	(55.9)	(18.2)			r
Lack of finance	43	35	93	49	2.67	1.03	Facto
	(19.5)	(15.9)	(42.3)	(22.3)			r
Culture	35	48	114	23	2.57	0.88	Facto
	(15.9)	(21.8)	(51.8)	(10.5)			r
Misinterpretation from other colleagues/people	28	36	132	24	2.69	0.83	Facto
	(12.7)	(16.4)	(60.0)	(10.9)			r
Being shy	25	34	128	33	2.77	0.84	Facto
	(11.4)	(15.5)	(58.2)	(15.0)			r

The mean score of +(positive factor) >2.50 is positive. SD (Strongly agree = 4) D (Disagree = 3) A (Agree = 3) SA (Strongly agree = 1).

Table 6 shows factors affecting the uptake of cervical cancer screening. It showed that all the items listed were factors affecting uptake among the respondents as the mean is > 2.50.

Association between level of knowledge and level of attitude

The association between level of knowledge and level of attitude. It shows that there is a significant association (χ^2 45.989 p 0.000 <0.05) between level of knowledge and level of attitude. We therefore reject the null hypothesis.

Association between socio-demographic characteristics and level of knowledge

There was a significant association between socio-demographic characteristics and level of knowledge AGE; (χ^2 62.403, p=0.000<0.05), Marital status (χ^2 37.845, p=0.000<0.05), religion



597-4292

 $(\chi^2 25.554, p=0.000<0.05)$ tribe $(\chi^2 12.058, p=0.000<0.05)$ level of education $(\chi^2 26.553, p=0.000<0.05)$

Association between socio-demographic characteristics and attitude of participants

There was a significant association between socio-demographic characteristics and attitude of participants AGE; (χ^2 55.455, p=0.000<0.05), Marital status (χ^2 23.317, p=0.000<0.05), religion (χ^2 22.704, p=0.000<0.05) tribe (χ^2 11.741, p=0.000<0.05) level of education (χ^2 12.496, p=0.000<0.05)

Association between socio-demographic characteristics and level of uptake of participants

There was a significant association between socio-demographic characteristics and level uptake of participants AGE; (χ^2 14.467, p=0.002<0.05), Marital status (χ^2 25.782, p=0.000<0.05), religion (χ^2 1.268, p=0.260<0.05) tribe (χ^2 9.551, p=0.023<0.05) level of education (χ^2 18.116, p=0.000<0.05)

Table 7: Multivariate logistic regression association between socio-demographic variables and level of knowledge

	P	OR	95% C.I. for OR
Age			-
25-34 years	0.000	41.64	8.54-203.16
35-44 years	0.041	4.19	1.06-16.57
45-54 years	0.811	1.19	0.28-5.07
55 and above		1.00	
Marital Status			-
Single	0.078	0.15	0.02-1.23
Married	0.646	1.55	0.24-9.91
Divorce		1.00	
Religion			



1597-4292

Christianity	0.024	5.17 1.24-21.57
Muslim		1.00
Level of Education		
NCE/HND	0.035	0.32 0.11-0.92
B.Sc and others		1.00 -

Table 7 shows that respondents that are 25 – 34years are 42 times more likely (OR= 41.64, C.I = 8.54- 203.16) to have good level of knowledge than those 55years and above, 35 - 44 are 4 times more likely (OR=4.19, C.I = 1.06 - 16.57) to have good level of knowledge than those 55years and above, Christians are five times more likely(OR=5.17, C.I= 1.24 - 21.57) to have good level of knowledge than Muslims and NCE/HND are 0.32 more likely (OR=0.32, C.I = 0.11 - 0.92) to have a good knowledge than the B.SC and others.

Table 8: Multivariate logistic regression association between sociodemographic variables and level of attitude

	P	OR	95% C.I.for O.R
Age			
25-34 years	0.011	0.16	0.04-0.66
35-44 years	0.879	0.90	0.23-3.54
45-54 years	0.208	2.81	0.56-14.06
55 and above		1.00	
Marital Status			
Single	0.371	3.26	0.25-43.26
Married	0.798	0.73	0.06-8.28
Divorce		1.00	-



1597-4292

Tribe		-
Bini	0.594	0.60 0.10-3.83
Igbo	0.974	1.04 0.12-9.14
Yoruba	0.777	0.69 0.05-9.10
Others		1.00 -
Level of Education		-
NCE/HND	0.530	1.45 0.46-4.61
B.Sc and others		1.00 -

Table 8 shows that those 25 - 34 years are 0.16 more likely (OR= 0.16, C.I = 0.04 - 0.66) to have positive attitude that those 55 years and above.

Table 9: Multivariate logistic regression association between socio-demographic variables and level of uptake

	p	O.R	95% C.I.for O.R	
			Lower	Upper
Age				
25-34 years	0.003	15.20	2.57	89.93
35-44 years	0.038	6.21	1.11	34.90
45-54 years	0.067	5.70	0.88	36.79
55 and above		1.00		
Marital Status				
Single	0.695	1.50	0.20	11.23
Married	0.003	18.82	2.67	132.75
Divorce		1.00		



uptake of Cervical screening among female teachers, TA Ehwarieme & A Emina ISSN

1597-4292

Tribe				
Bini	0.985	1.02	0.08	13.82
Igbo	0.129	0.11	0.01	1.91
Yoruba	0.793	1.57	0.05	45.43
Others		1.00		
Level of education				
NCE/HND	0.054	4.61	0.97	21.82
B.Sc and others		1.00		
Constant	0.235	0.16		

Table 9 shows that those 25 - 34 years are 15 times more likely(OR=15.20, C.I= 2.57 - 89.93) to uptake the cervical cancer screening than those 55 years and above, those 35 - 44 years are six times more likely(OR= 6.21, C.I= 1.11 - 34.90) to uptake cervical cancer screening than those 55 years and above. Respondents that are married are 19 times more likely (OR=18.82,C.I = 2.67 - 132.75) to uptake the screening that those divorced.

DISCUSSION

Finding from this study showed that majority of the respondents has a poor knowledge of cervical cancer screening and its importance. This finding is consistent with the findings reported in some Nigerian studies^{15,16}. However, it is higher than 8.1% reported in Enugu¹⁷ and lower than the level of knowledge of cervical cancer screening reported in Hawassa, Nepal, and Addis Ababa^{18,19,20}. Furthermore, the level of good knowledge reported in the present study differ greatly from the ones reported in other Nigerian studies in Lagos and Benin City^{2,21}.

The differences in the present study and that of other Nigerian studies as reported by Ehwarieme et al, and Tsegaye et al, may be attributed to the facts that their study population were mostly female medical students as against the present study whose participants are secondary school teachers and may lack of proper enlightenment both on the harmful effect of this cancer and how it can be prevented. The low knowledge of cervical cancer in this study may also be due to the fact that the information received from different sources were not detailed enough. Considering the age and



L597-429:

marital status of many of the teachers, possible unexploited opportunities at educating them may have occurred during antenatal and postnatal visits to the hospital, which if harnessed could make the hospital a common source of factual information. Majority of the respondents in the present study lack knowledge of risk factors for cervical cancer, did not have knowledge of categories of women eligible for cervical cancer screening and stages of cervical cancer that can be treated. All these suggest that they lack knowledge and basic information on cervical cancer screening as a core preventive measure of cervical cancer which of course if they had known could have been advantageous to the students under their tutelage and the society as a whole.

Female secondary school teachers are key to promotion of cervical cancer screening which is one of the preventive measures and early detection which could lead to cure of cervical cancer. This is so because they are like role models to the adolescents who at this time are sexually active and may exhibit negative youthful exuberance that are detrimental to them exposing them to various sexually transmitted diseases such as Human Papilloma Virus (HPV). If these teachers have good knowledge on cervical cancer screening and its importance, it will help them in providing valuable and correct information to the students who are in their custody and by extension preventing them from indulging in practices that will lead to contacting these dangerous diseases. Therefore, improving these teachers' comprehensive knowledge about cervical cancer and cervical cancer screening is expedient. Additionally, health care professionals need to increase the level of sensitization campaign and health education on cervical cancer and its screening to school not just for the students but also to the teachers who are always with the students.

Findings from the study have found out that there was a strong interplay between the knowledge and attitudes of cervical cancer, as overall majority of the respondents had negative attitude toward uptake of cervical cancer screening. This finding is in line with what was reported in Zimbabwe, Ethiopia, and Nigeria where there was generally a negative attitude to cervical cancer screening^{23,24,25,26,27}. However, findings from the present study is in contrast with findings of other Nigerian studies in Zaria and Lagos, where positive attitude towards to cervical cancer screening were reported^{28,29}. Furthermore, a good majority of the respondents from the present study feels that it is not possible for a person to get cervical cancer therefore no need of going for screening, they also



1597-429:

feel that cervical cancer is hereditary and the screening is only undergone by those that have it in the family. In addition, large proportion of the respondents feels that cervical cancer affects only promiscuous women. Most of them also feel that the screening procedure is painful and that cervical cancer screening can affect female reproductive organ negatively. Fear of the results being positive was also expressed by majority of the respondents as they feel is better not knowing that one has cancer than knowing and leaving with the consciousness of the disease having been diagnosed through cervical cancer screening. All these feeling and perception of the respondents inform their negative attitude toward cervical cancer screening in the presented study. These assertions/perceptions that informs the attitude of the respondents in this study can be linked to the poor knowledge they had on cervical screening and its importance, which can be dissuaded by good comprehensive educational/enlightenment programmes on cervical cancer and its screening.

The findings of this study showed that only 10.5% of respondents had ever screened for cervical cancer, out of this 52.2% screen every five years which is the recommended screening routine. Pap smear and HPV testing were the most commonly mode of screening used. This finding corroborated the findings of studies conducted in Ilorin, Nigeria, Arba Minch and Ethiopia where uptake were reportedly low^{30,31,32}. Nevertheless, the level of uptake reported in Benin City and Dares Salaam were higher than the reported level of uptake in the present study^{33,34}. It could be inferred that the higher level of uptake reported in previous study may be due to the study population. For instance, the study in Benin City were among female medical students which as a result of their course of study are knowledgeable and aware of the consequences of not submitting oneself for screening. Also being young with high level of curiosity coupled with internet compliance and sophisticated phones in their possession is another advantage they have over the respondents in the present study in searching for information about their health. This assertion is confirmed by the multiple logistics regression analysis in the present study which show that respondents that are 25–34 years are 42 times more likely (OR= 41.64, C.I = 8.54- 203.16) to have good level of knowledge than those 55 years and above. Also, those between 25–34 years are 0.16 more likely (OR= 0.16, C.I = 0.04 -0.66) to have positive attitude that those 55 years and above, and those 25–34 years are 15 times more likely (OR=15.20, C.I= 2.57 - 89.93) to take to uptake of cervical cancer screening than those



597-429:

55years and above. This suggests the reasons for the higher uptake in the study among the female students who are probably below or within this age range. These findings implies that better attitude, knowledge and uptake can be achieved among young adolescents than adults if they are followed up.

Partner disapproval received the highest mean among all the factors affecting cervical cancer screening uptake in the present study. Other are unavailability of screening center, not feeling at risk, being shy, lack of finance, misinterpretation from other colleagues/people, culture among others. This finding corroborated that conducted in Zaria, where several reasons were listed among whom fears of test were being positive and non-consent from husbands²⁴. Also, the study in Dares Salaam revealed that lack of spousal support was responsible for low uptake hence the need to educate men on cervical cancer screening³⁴. Similar finding was also reported in Ghana where fear of the screening procedure being painful and expensive were seen as part of the reason for the low utilization of cervical cancer screening³⁵. Findings of the present study is also consistent with the findings in Kenya where there is a significant relationship between age (p=0.05), women with insurance cover (p=0.00) and income (p=0.01)³⁶. However, these findings do not agree with the study conducted in Klang Valley where significant predictors of uptake of screening were being married, age, parity³⁷.

Screening barriers at the individual level such as fear of positive result, low-risk perception, lack of test awareness, and reluctance to screen are worrisome to be observed among female teachers. Fear of positive result may emanate from either fatalistic beliefs that positive cervical cancer screening result equals to a death sentence or potential tagging due to perceived association of cervical cancer with promiscuity^{38,39,40}. Also low cervical cancer risk perception among female teachers who felt they were not susceptible to the disease may precipitate ignorance or reluctance for the uptake. Consequently, cervical cancer may be detected among this group at advanced stages due to poor screening uptake leading to morbidity and mortality. Hence, it very pertinent that interventions should be geared towards this group with priorities on risk perception to improve cervical cancer screening.



CONCLUSION

This study was conducted at the right time when the country is planning to improve cervical cancer prevention and treatment services. Understanding and exploring uptake of cervical cancer screening service and its risk factors among female secondary school teachers in Nigeria is important to give some light to the broad efforts of preventing and treatment of cervical cancer. Findings from the study had shown that there is poor knowledge of cervical cancer screening, negative attitude and low uptake among female public secondary school in the study area. Factors such as partner disapproval, unavailability of screening center, lack of knowledge on cervical cancer screening, not feeling at risk and being shy among others were identified as affecting the uptake of cervical cancer screening. In order to promote uptake of cervical cancer screening and vaccination program among school-girls, health care providers such as nurses, physicians who are the front liners in this fight need to ensure that secondary school teachers have a proper understanding of cervical cancer screening and its importance. This is because if the secondary teachers are armed with the relevant knowledge and good attitude towards cervical cancer screening, they can be served as ambassadors in all the interventions geared towards cervical cancer screening that may involve the adolescent females in secondary schools who are in their custody.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, Colombet M, Mery L & Piñeros M, Global Cancer Observatory: Cancer Today. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2018.
- 2. Agbo, N. Cervical cancer: the cancer killing Nigerian women 2018. Retrieved from https://m.guardian.ng/life/cervical-cancer-the-cancer-killing-nigerian-women/
- 3. World Health Organization, Cervical Cancer, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2018. Accessed on 5/10/2021 from: http://www.afro.who.int/en/clusters-a-programmes/dpc/non-communicable-diseases-managementndm/programme-components/cancer/cervical-cancer/2810-cervical-cancer.html



- 4. Bray, FJ. Ferlay, I. Soerjomataram, RL. Siegel, LA. Torre, & Jemal, A "Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries," CA: *A Cancer Journal for Clinicians* 2018; 68(6): 394–424.
- 5. Mukama T., Rawlance N., Angele M., Abdullah A, & David M., Women's knowledge and attitudes towards cervical cancer prevention: a cross sectional study in Eastern Uganda. *BMC Women's Health*. 2017;17:9.
- 6. Bruni L, Albero G, Serrano B, Mena M, Gómez D, & Muñoz J, *ICO/IARC Information Centre on HPV and Cancer* (HPV Information Centre). Human Papillomavirus and Related Diseases in Nigeria, 2019
- 7. Assoumou, SZ., Mabika, BM., Mbuiguino, AN., Mouallif, M., Khattabi, A., & Ennagi, MM. Awareness and knowledge regarding of cervical cancer, Pap smear screening and human papilloma virus infection in Gabonese women. *BMC Women's Health* 2015; 15 (37):1-7.
- 8. Okunowo AA. & Smith-Okonu S. T. Cervical cancer screening among urban women in Lagos, Nigeria: Focus on barriers and motivators for screening. *The Nigeria Journal of General Practice* 2020;(1):10-16 **DOI:** 10.4103/1008-682X.275509
- 9. Chia PN, Udzua FK, Ugese JI. Assessment of knowledge of cervical cancer and Pap smear screening in Makurdi metropolis. *J Health Sci* 2016;4:283-9.
- 10. Ubajaka C, Ukegbu A, Ilikannu S, Ibeh C, Onyeonoro U, & Ezeanyim A. Knowledge of cervical cancer and practice of Pap smear testing among secondary school teachers in nnewi North local government area of Anambra state, South Eastern Nigeria. *Adv Sex Med* 2015; 5:13-21.
- 11. Idowu A, Olowookere SA, Fagbemi AT, Ogunlaja OA. Determinants of Cervical Cancer Screening Uptake among Women in Ilorin, North Central Nigeria: A Community Based Study. *Journal of Cancer Epidemiology*, volume 2016;8. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1155/2016/6469240
- 12. Obi, AI. Cervical cancer knowledge and screening practices among women of reproductive age in Benin, Edo State. *Journal of Community Medicine and Primary Health Care 2015;* 27(2):59-66.



- 13. Ifemelumma CC.,Anikwe CC.,Okorochukwu BC.,Onu FA. Cervical cancer screening: Assessment of perception and utilization of services among health workers in low resource setting 2019 Article ID 6505482, 8 pages. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6505482.
- 14. Ijezie AE, Johnson OE. Knowledge of cervical cancer and the uptake of the Papanicolaou smear test among public secondary school teachers in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Niger Med J* 2019;60:245-51
- 15. Okonowa AA, Daramola SE, Soibi-Harry. Women knowledge of cervical cancer and uptake of pap smear testing and the factors influencing it in Nigeria hospital, *Journal of Cancer Research and Practice* 2018; 5(3):105-111.
- 16. Olubodun T, Odukoya OO & Balogun MR. Knowledge, attitude and practice of cervical cancer prevention, among women residing in an urban slum in Lagos, South West, Nigeria. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2019;18;32:130. doi: 10.11604/pamj.2019.32.130.14432. PMID: 31223418; PMCID: PMC6561126
- 17. Ingwu, JA. (2016). Knowledge and Screening Practices of Cervical Cancer among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinic in Tertiary Hospitals in Enugu, South-Eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Cancer and Tumor International*, 4(2), 1-9.
- 18. Tsegaye S, Mengistu D & Gultie T. Knowledge and attitude towards cervical cancer screening and associated factors among female Hawassa University College of Medicine and Health Sciences students. *MOJ Public Health* 2018;7(3):151–158. DOI: 10.15406/mojph.2018.07.00221
- 19. Khadka, K., Shah, SK., Sanal, TS., Mathias, J., Upadhayay, A., Ghimire, R. &Ghimire, S. Knowledge and Awareness about Cervical Cancer Screening and HPV Vaccine among Females Aged 15-49 Years in Rukum District of Nepal. *American Journal of Cancer Prevention* 2017; 5(1): 10-16.
- 20. Shiferaw, S., Addissie, A., Gizaw, M., Hirpa, S., Ayele, W., Getachew, S., Assefa, M. & Jemal, A. Knowledge about cervical cancer and barriers toward cervical cancer screening among HIV-positive women attending public health centres in Addis Ababa city, *Ethiopia. Cancer Medicine* 2018; 7(3), 903-912.



- 21. Toye MA, Okunade KS, Roberts AA, Salako O, Oridota ES, & Onajole AT. Knowledge, perceptions and practice of cervical cancer prevention among female public secondary school teachers in Mushin local government area of Lagos State, Nigeria. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2017;28:221. doi:10.11604/pamj.2017.28.221.13980
- 22. Ehwarieme TA., Ekrebe AJ. & Oko-ose JN. Knowledge, attitude and practice of cervical cancer screening among undergraduate residing in hall of residents, *University of Benin, Journal of Science and Technology* 2017; 5(1):1
- 23. Nyamambi E, Murendo C, Sibanda N & Mazinyane S. Knowledge, attitudes and barriers of cervical cancer screening among women in Chegutu rural district of Zimbabwe, *Cogent Social Sciences* 2020; 6:1, 1766784, DOI:10.1080/23311886.2020.1766784
- 24. Anyebe, EE Opaluwa, SA Muktar, HM Philip, F. Knowledge and Practice of Cervical Cancer Screening amongst Nurses in Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital Zaria Research on Humanities and Social Sciences, 2014:Vol.4, No.27. www.iiste.org
- 25. Tekle T, Wolka E, Nega B, Kumma WP & Koyira MM. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Towards Cervical Cancer Screening Among Women and Associated Factors in Hospitals of Wolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Cancer Manag Res.* 2020; 12:993-1005. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S240364. PMID: 32104081; PMCID: PMC7023884.
- 26. Ahmed S, Sabitu K, Idris S, Ahmed R. Knowledge, attitude and practice of cervical cancer screening among market women in Zaria, Nigeria. *Niger Med J.* 2013; 54: 316-319.
- 27. Ezomo OT. Knowledge, attitude and practice of cervical cancer preventive strategies among market women in Ibadan, Nigeria. In: proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual AACR International Conference on Frontiers in Cancer Prevention Research; 2014; New Orleans, LA. Philadelphia (PA): AACR; *Can Prev Res* 2015; 8.
- 28. Ahmed S A, Sabitu K, Idris S .H, & Ahmed R. Knowledge, attitude and practice of cervical cancer screening among market women in Zaria, Nigeria. *Niger Med J.* 2015; 54(5):316-9. doi: 10.4103/0300-1652.122337. PMID: 24403709; PMCID: PMC3883231.
- 29. Oluseyi AE. Awareness, Knowledge and Attitude to Cervical Cancer and Its Screening among Females in Somolu Local Government Area, Lagos, Nigeria. *J Community Med Health Care* 2019; 4(1): 1031.



- 30. Idowu A, Olowookere SA, Fagbemi AT, Ogunlaja OA. Determinants of Cervical Cancer Screening Uptake among Women in Ilorin, North Central Nigeria: A Community-Based Study. *Journal of cancer Epidemology*. 2016; 2016:(1)1-8. doi: 10.1155/2016/6469240.
- 31. Aweke YH, Ayanto SY, Ersado TL. Knowledge, attitude and practice for cervical cancer prevention and control among women of childbearing age in Hossana Town, Hadiya zone, Southern Ethiopia: Community-based cross-sectional study. *PLoS One*, 2017; 12(7):e0181415. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.
- 32. Gebru, Z, Gerbaba, M. & Dirar, A. Utilization of Cervical Carcinoma Screening Service and Associated Factors among currently married women in Arba Minch Town, Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Women's Health Care* 2016; 5: 297-302.
- 33. Omorogbe CE, & Eremwanarue JE,. Awareness and Uptake of Cervical Cancer Screening Among Female Students in School of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Benin, Nigeria. *American Journal of Nursing Science* 2019; 8(4):163-168. doi: 10.11648/j.ajns.20190804.17
- 34. Kileo, NM., Denna, M., Neke, NM. & Moshiro, C. Utilization of cervical cancer screening services and its associated factors among primary school teachers in Ilala Municipality, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *BMC Health Services Research*, 2015 15:552
- 35. Kokuro M (2017) Factors affecting the utilisation of cervical cancer screening among women attending health services in the Kumasi Metropolis of Ghana, *Faculty of Medicine* and *Health Sciences*, *Stellenbosch* 2017 University.https://scholar.sun.ac.za
- 36. Kimani K, Muchiri PM, JJuma, JN, Mogere, D., & Ngetich, E. Factors Influencing Uptake of Cervical Cancer Screening among Rural Women in Webuye East Sub County, Kenya. *International Research Journal of Oncology* 2019; 2(3): 1-12. Retrieved from http://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/article/view/30108
- 37. Nwabichie CC, Manaf RA, and Ismail SB. Factors Affecting Uptake of Cervical Cancer Screening Among African Women in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2018; 19(3): 825–831.doi: 10.22034/APJCP.2018.19.3.825
- 38. Lim JNW, Ojo AA. Barriers to utilisation of cervical cancer screening in sub-Sahara Africa: a systematic review. *Eur J Cancer Care* 2017; 26 (1):e12444.



- 39. Black E, Hyslop F, Richmond R. Barriers and facilitators to uptake of cervical cancer screening among women in Uganda: a systematic review. *BMC Womens Health* 2019; 19(1):108.
- 40. Okolie EA, Barker D, Nnyanzi LA, Anjorin S, Aluga D, Nwadike BI. Factors influencing cervical cancer screening practice among female health workers in Nigeria: A systematic review. *Cancer Reports* 2021; e1514. https://doi.org/10.1002/cnr2.1514