



MATERNAL RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH ADVERSE PREGNANCY OUTCOME: LOW BIRTH WEIGHT - A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

Bright Owhondah Ogbondah

Department of Community Medicine, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Email: brightogbonda@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Low birth weight (LBW) affects mostly developing countries and is a leading cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. It is significantly associated with maternal variables as age, height, mean arterial blood pressure (MAP), and gestational age (GA)

Method: The study is a retrospective descriptive study conducted at general hospital Bonny, Rivers State. The study involved data collection from the records of participants kept at the records department of the hospital. Study population included all eligible pregnant women who presented for antenatal care (ANC) and also had their babies delivered at the hospital from 1st January 2015 to 31st December 2020. Records were scrutinized for completeness and records without complete data on variables required were excluded. Also excluded, were records which revealed medical condition which could affect fetal growth. Multiple regression analysis was done with SPSS version 23.0 to determine variables which were significant at p value less than or equal 0.05 level.

Results: The mean age of participants was 29.3 ± 5.8 with women within the age grade 21 – 30 years as the most predominant in the study. Most women were employed; 274 (68.5%) while 283 (70.8%) were partnered. There was a statistically significant association between maternal MAP, height, age and GA with birth weight of infants.

Conclusion: Maternal variables such as age, MAP, height and GA of newborn were significant predictors of LBW.

Key Words: maternal, height, mean arterial blood pressure, age, gestational age, birth weight.





INTRODUCTION

Low Birth Weight (LBW) in newborn, defined as weight below 10th percentile of sex specific population-based birth weight reference curve for gestational age (GA)¹ or weight of newborn less than 2500g². LBW could result from preterm delivery or intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) or both³. Infants with LBW are 25 times more at risk of dying and also develop long term consequences such as low intelligence quotient, poor school performance and cognitive dysfunctions compared with counterparts who weigh 2500g and above^{4,5,6}. It is a major public health issue which affects mostly developing countries. Birth weight (BW) of newborn depends primarily on maternal factors which is single most important factor determining survival and development of newborn⁷. Unfavorable biological, social and environmental factors which affects the mother before and during pregnancy plays a vital role as risk factors of LBW⁸. Therefore, mothers need good, effective and efficient health care delivery services. It requires that they receive adequate social environment, responsive political structure and acceptable cultural value to ensure improved maternal health care and reduce adverse consequences. It is in this light that World Health assembly nutrition, target to reduce LBW by 30% between 2012 and 2025⁹. Over 20 million (15-20% of all births globally) infants are born with LBW, which contributes about 80% of all neonatal mortality¹⁰. In 2019, Lancet reported a global prevalence of between 14% - 17% with over 90% from low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) with sub-Saharan Africa contributing about 24% of global burden¹¹. It was also estimated that global incidence was 16%¹².

Consequences of LBW impacts negatively on the community both on short and long- term basis. It was shown that LBW could predispose children to stunting in childhood, develop markers of metabolic risk factors at later age, affect male and female reproductive capacities and a risk factor for suboptimal growth until adulthood and could lead to intergenerational assaults of malnutrition¹³. Evidence further reveals the impact of LBW as it could cause mental impairment and non-communicable chronic disease later in life¹⁴. It is therefore important to understand maternal risk



factors associated with adverse pregnancy outcome such as LBW as to institute public health and maternal health care services to curb these conditions.

Several maternal factors have been associated with LBW. Anaemia in pregnancy have been implicated as a determinant of LBW and defined as maternal blood haemoglobin concentration below 10g/dl¹⁵. It was implicated as the most common nutritional disorder in pregnancy¹⁶. The enormity of the problem is evidenced by prevalence high as 46%, 41.8% and 56.0% respectively^{17,18,19}. Environmental and social factors which could predispose to this problem include malaria, intestinal parasite and low intake of iron rich foods¹⁷, maternal under nutrition with poor calorie intake resulting in low absorption of essential micronutrients¹⁸. Effects of Anaemia in pregnancy have been controversial. It is believed that anaemia in pregnancy could predispose to LBW^{17,18,13}. However, some studies found no significant association between LBW and Anaemia in pregnancy¹⁹. It was shown that Maternal Anaemia affects placental vascularization by altering angiogenesis during early pregnancy²⁰.

Maternal weight at term was noted as the best predictor of infant birth weight²¹. Studies have shown significant association between maternal weight and LBW^{22,23,24}. It is an established fact that adequate nutrition and good calorie intake predicts maternal weight in pregnancy.

Maternal height has shown strong positive correlation with infant birth weight^{24,25}. LBW infants are at an additional risk of stunting and poor linear growth if born to short mothers²⁶. An infant with LBW is more likely to have stunting in childhood and develop markers of metabolic risk factors at his later age. Low birth weight is a risk factor for inter-generational assault of malnutrition and a risk factor for suboptimal growth until adulthood¹³. LBW causes stunting and other long lasting health effects¹⁴.

Preterm delivery is a strong factor which predicts LBW. Previous studies have shown significant association between GA and LBW²². Gestational age below 37 weeks have also been shown to have a strong positive correlation with LBW²⁷. Birth weight depends on GA and fetal growth which are both products of internal (genetic) and environmental factors variation in different population of fetuses due to different environmental factors²⁸.



The objective of this study is to determine the effect of maternal predictors such as maternal weight, height, blood haemoglobin concentration, MAP and GA on birth weight of newborn. The rationale behind this work lies in the fact that, no work of this kind has been done in this study area and result obtained will be used as a tool to plan an evidence-based community tailored mitigation measures in the island where this study was carried out. Note that there have been conflicting results concerning the effect of these maternal variables and LBW. Further justification comes from the 2012 lancet every newborn series which called for more research into LBW infants²⁹.

METHOD

Study Area and Population

The study was a descriptive retrospective study conducted at general hospital Bonny, in Bonny Island Rivers State, Nigeria. The study involved retrospective data collection from the records of participants kept at the records department of the hospital. The study population included all eligible pregnant women who presented for antenatal care (ANC) and also had their babies delivered at the hospital from 1st January 2015 to 31st December 2020. Records were scrutinized for completeness and records without complete data on variables required were excluded. Also excluded, were records which revealed multiple pregnancy and other medical condition which could affect fetal growth such as diabetes mellitus, HIV, cardiovascular diseases except hypertension etc. Participants for the study were enlisted based on their records until the required sample size was achieved. A total sample size of 400 was achieved after making adjustment for attrition using sample size determination for descriptive study³⁰.

Data Collection and Analysis

Data were collected on socio-demographic, independent variable such as maternal height, weight, mean arterial blood pressure, blood haemoglobin concentration and GA while output variable was infant birth weight. Results were presented in simple frequency distribution table. Correlation coefficient was used to determine variables that were significantly associated with dependent



variable while multiple regression analysis was done to determine continuous variables which were significant at p value less than or equal 0.05 level. Also, bivariate analysis with chi-square test was done for categorical data with level of statistical significance set at less than or equal 0.05.

Data collected was coded and entered into excel work book and was transported into statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 23.0 standard edition for statistical analysis.

Ethical Considerations

Permission for the study was granted by the management of Rivers State Hospital management board while ethical approval for the study was given by the ethical review committee of Rivers State Hospital Management Board.

RESULTS

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

The most predominant age group were women aged 21-30 years, 200 (50%) while 6(1.5%) of women were aged 41 years and above. The mean age was 29.3 ± 5.8 with minimum and maximum age 17 and 44 years respectively.

Most women were employed; 274 (68.5%) while 126 (31.5%) were unemployed. Majority of the women were partnered 283 (70.8%) with 126 (29.2%) not partnered.

TABLE 1: SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

VARIABLES	N =400	MEAN	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	RANGE
AGE (YEARS)	FREQ. (%)				



≤20	36 (9.0)				
21 - 30	200 (50.0)	29.3±5.8	17	44	270
31 -40	158 (39.5)				
≥41	6 (1.5)				
OCCUPATION					
Unemployed	60 (15.0)				
Student	74 (18.5)				
Business woman	182 (45.5)				
Public servant	84 (21.0)				
RELIGION					
Christian	390 (97.5)				
Islam	8 (2.0)				
Others	2(0.5)				
TRIBE					
Igbo	74 (18.5)				
Ijaw	260 (65.0)				
House	12 (12.0)				
Efik	48 (12.0)				
Others	6 (1.5)				
MARITAL STATUS					
Single	115(28.7)				
Married	281(70.3)				
Cohabitation	2 (0.5)				
Divorced	2 (0.5)				



MATERNAL PREDICTORS AND LBW 1

Women whose blood haemoglobin concentration above 11g/dl were the most predominant; 336(84.0%), while women with height 166-170cm constituted 140 (35.0%) of the study.

TABLE 2: MATERNAL PREDICTORS AND LBW 1

VARIABLE (N=400)					
HAEMOGLOBIN CONC.(g/dl)	FREQ. (%)	MEAN	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	RANGE
<7.9	0(0)				
7.9 – 8.9	6(1.5)	12.2±1.5	8.3	19.3	11
9.0 – 10.9	58(14.5)				
≥11	336(84)				
MATERNAL WEIGHT(Kg)					
<65	52(13.0)				
65 – 79	166(41.5)	78.3±13.7	50	115	65
80 – 94	126(31.5)				
95 – 110	52(13.0)				
≥110	4(1)				
MATERNAL HEIGHT(CM)					
<160	80(20.0)				
160 – 165	120(30.0)	165±0.01	150	178	28
166 – 170	140(35.0)				
≥171	60(15.0)				
MEAN ARTERIAL PRESSURE (mmHg)					
≤70	144(36.0)				
71 – 100	235(58.8)	71.24±19.2	33.3	123.3	90



≥101	21(5.2)				
BIRTH WEIGHT (Kg)					
<2.5	24(6)	3.2±0.6	1.0	4.6	3.6
≥2.5	376(94)				
GESTATIONAL AGE(WEEKS)					
<37	182(45.5)	37.05±2.1	26	42	16
≥37	218(54.5)				

MATERNAL PREDICTORS AND LBW 2

Women who were partnered had 16 (25.8%) LBW babies while unemployed women had 14 (22.6%) of infants with LBW

TABLE 3: MATERNAL PREDICTORS AND LBW 2

MARITAL STATUS	BIRTH WEIGHT			CHI SQUARE TEST	P VALUE
	<2.5	≥2.5	TOTAL		
NOT PARTNERED	16	101	117		
PARTNERED	46	237	283	0.4	0.52
TOTAL	62	338	400		



OCCUPATION					
UNEMPLOYED	14	112	126		
EMPLOYED	48	226	274	2.7	0.1
TOTAL	62	338	400		

MATERNAL PREDICTIONS AND LBW 3

There was a strong significant positive correlation between GA and infant birth weight. There was a statistically significant association between maternal predictor as height, mean arterial pressure, and gestational age of infant with birth weight of newborn.

TABLE 4: MATERNAL PREDICTORS AND LBW 3

VARIABLE	PEARSON CORRELATION	T TEST	P VALUE	95% CI	
				LOWER	UPPER
AGE	- 0.022	0.337	0.736	- 0.009	0.012
HAEM.CONC.	0.013	1.025	0.306	- 0.016	0.051
MAP	-0.051	2.061	0.040	0.000	0.006
WEIGHT	0.132	1.707	0.089	- 0.001	0.007



GEST. AGE	0.382	7.844	0.000	0.083	0.138
HEIGHT	0.075	1.958	0.05	- 0.003	1.558

MATERNAL PREDICTIONS AND LBW4

Maternal age 21-30 years, mean arterial pressure above 100, maternal height-above 110cm and below 165cm and gestational age were significantly associated with infant birth weight.

TABLE 5: MATERNAL PREDICTORS AND LBW 4

VARIABLES	BIRTH WEIGHT(KG)		T TEST	P. VALUE	PEARSONS CORR.	95% CI	
	<2.5	>2.5				LOWER	UPPER
AGE(YEARS)							
<20	19.0±1.31	19.1±1.6	- 0.180	0.862	- 0.080	- 1.76	1.15
21 – 30	28.3±1.3	25.75±3.4	2.22	0.04	- 0.35	0.021	5.15
>31	33.3±2.73	22.67±3.07	0.278	- 0.79	0.49- 3.42	2.76	
HAEM. CONC. g/dl							
9.0 -10.9	9.9±0.39	9.96±0.36	- 0.28	0.79	-0.42	- 0.59	0.47
≥11	13.02±1.15	12.86±1.65	0.49	0.62	- 0.19	-0.51	0.84
MAP (mmHg)							
<71	62.19±13.6	59.31±15.1	0.68	0.51	0.32-6.11	11.84	
71 – 100	82.68±7.75	83.05±8.2	- 0.16	0.88	- 0.187	- 5.34	4.59
>100	112.9±7.93	104.43±5.16	3.21	0.01	0.232.51	14.52	



WEIGHT(Kg)							
<65	60.81±4.23	61.50±5.84	- 0.44	0.67	0.25 - 4.05	2.67	
65 - 79	72.92±3.85	73.25±4.37	- 0.29	0.77	0.09 - 2.68	2.02	
80 - 94	87.25±4.80	85.38±3.25	0.81	0.44	-0.29	- 3.58	7.34
95 - 110	102.17±5.09	99.83±3.07	1.09	0.30	0.64	- 2.4	7.07
GESTATIONAL AGE(WEEKS)							
<37	34.64±3.13	35.94±0.42	-2.89	0.006	- 0.063	- 2.20	-0.40
≥37	38.60±1.08	37.07±0.68	2.58	0.03	0.277	0.11	1.69
HEIGHT(CM)							
<165	156.2±2.8	153.5±2.3	3.83	0.001	0.04	0.01	0.04
65 - 79	163.5±0.5	162.2±1.4	3.32	0.004	- 0.50	0.004	0.02
80 - 94	167.5±1.58	167±0.63	- 0.56	0.59	0.000	- 0.02	0.009
95 - 110	170.5±0.53	172.3±3.23	- 1.68	0.12	0.23	- 0.04	0.01
>110	115.0±0.0	111.5±2.42	4.58	0.001	0.000	1.772	5.23

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of LBW in this study was 15.5%. The study also discovered a statistically significant association between maternal predictors such as height, mean arterial blood pressure and GA with LBW. The prevalence recorded in this study was low compared to prevalence recorded in other studies conducted in Africa and Asia; 15.6%, 18.0%, 29.0%, 29.1% respectively^{27,10,31,32}.

However, this figure was considered high compared to the national average of 7.2% recorded in the 2013-2018 Nigeria National demographic survey. The import of this figure is an indictment on maternal health care services which influences maternal predictors of LBW. It is believed that improving general and maternal health care services will improve maternal variables that determine LBW.

The mean age of participants was 29.3±5.8 with minimum and maximum maternal age as 17 and 44 years respectively. The mean age recorded in this study was similar to the mean age in a similar study



in Ethiopia with mean age of $28.7 \pm$ years¹⁰. The mean maternal age in this study contrasted with mean age of 24.6 years recorded in a European study²⁴. The similarity and difference observed could be attributed to socio-economic and cultural factors. It is expected that the mean age for African women should be lower than that of their European counterpart considering that age at first marriage (AFM) for African women was lower than that of Europeans^{37,38}. Geopolitical zone, religion and level of education affects the national average for AFM. The AFM was higher in the Southern geopolitical region, among Christians and among educated persons³³. Therefore, the mean age of participants in the study was high considering that the study was conducted in the south-south geopolitical region of the country, among a predominantly Christian (97.5%) population and in a literate society. Multivariate Logistic Regression (MVL) model reveals no statistically significant association between maternal age and LBW. Interestingly, univariate logistic regression analysis reveals a significant association between maternal age 21-30 years and LBW with a significant negative linear correlation. It reasons that birth weight increases as maternal age decreases from 30 up to 21 years. The findings in this study replicates the results from a study conducted in Bangladesh with LBW common with maternal age less than 20 years and above 30 years¹⁷. Also, other studies reviewed were in congruence with the results from this study^{27,34}. It is believed that the woman's reproductive system with associated biological variable will be at their optimal functional capacity at this age grade.

LBW was significantly associated with maternal mean arterial blood pressure. The prevalence of hypertension among participants was 5.2%. However, some studies identified high maternal blood pressures prevalence³⁵, while others recorded lower prevalence³⁶. Literatures reviewed concur with findings in this study which reveals the effect of hypertension in pregnancy and LBW^{37,35}. It was shown that a 5mmhg increase in mean 24-hour diastolic blood pressure at 28 weeks gestation is associated with a 6g decrease in birth weight. Also, a similar change was seen with diastolic blood pressure at 36 weeks gestation with an average of 76g (24g-129g) decrease in birth weights³⁹. It was shown that the mechanism responsible for LBW among hypertensives involves utero placental compromise and the overall effect on newborn depends on type and degree of hypertension⁴⁰.



An important predictor of LBW identified in this survey was gestational age (GA). An increase in GA above 37 weeks was significantly associated with an increase in birth weight. There was no discordant opinion among researchers on this issue. Most studies reviewed were in concordance with the results from this study^{27,24,22}.

It was shown that birth weight is determined by GA and fetal growth which are both products of internal (genetic) and environmental factors²⁸.

Maternal height and birth weight was identified as having a statistically significant association with a positive correlation coefficient. It was shown that birth weight increase as maternal height appreciates. Other studies were also in tandem with results obtained^{23,24,31,25}. However, the cut-off for which height becomes a significant predictor is still not well established. Some studies identified height less than 145cm³¹ while others predicted height below 150cm²⁶. Also, it was revealed that LBW infants were at an additional risk of stunting and poor linear growth if born to short mothers²⁶. Other maternal variables such as maternal haemoglobin concentration, maternal weight, occupation and marital status showed no significant association with LBW in this study. In contrast some researchers identified significant association between LBW and weight^{21,32}, maternal haemoglobin concentration^{23,15} and occupation¹⁰.

CONCLUSION

Maternal variables such as age, mean arterial blood pressure, height and GA of newborn are significant predictors of LBW. It is therefore recommended by the researchers that concerted effort be made by policy makers and government to put in place policies to improve maternal health care services in the health sector with a view to reducing LBW infants and its attendant consequences by promoting the above-mentioned maternal predictors.



Limitations

Few limitations which could bias the study were encountered which includes time of measurement of maternal weight. It was recommended that data for maternal weight and haemoglobin concentration be the measured value at term while birth weight be examined within ten minutes of delivery and before neonate was fed. Records which did not meet these criteria were also excluded.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

1. Alexander GR, Himes JH, Kaufman RB, mor J, Kogan M. A United States national reference for fetal growth. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. 1996; 87(2): 163 – 168
2. WHO International classification of disease. 10th edition revision (ICD – 10) 2010. Retrieved from <http://who.int/classification/icd/icd.10.vol.2.en.2010.pdf?Ug=1>. Accessed 14 Jan. 2021
3. Berkowitz GS, Papiemik E. Epidemiology of preterm birth. *Epid. Rev.* 1993;15(2):414-443
4. Islam MM. The effect of low birth weight on school performance and behavioral outcome of elementary school children in Oman. *Oman medical Journal*. 2015; 30(4):241-251. Doi:10.5001/omj.2015.50
5. Gu H, Wang L, Liu L, Luo X, Wang J, Hou F. et al. Gradient relationship between low birth weight and intelligence quotient: A meta-analysis. *science rep.* 2017; 7(1): 18035.doi:10.1038/s 41598 – 017 – 18234 – 9
6. Dombrowski SC, Noonan K, Martin RP. Low birth weight and cognitive outcome: Evidence for a gradient relationship in urban, poor African American birth cohort. *Sch. Psychol. Q.* 2007; 22(1):26-43. Doi:10.1037/1045 – 3830. 22.1.26



7. Gelband H, Lijstrand J, Nemer L, Islam MM, Zupan J, Jha P. The evidence bases for intervention to reduce maternal and neonatal mortality in low- and middle-income countries. Commission on macroeconomics and health working paper series. WG 5 paper. 5.2021
8. UNICEF. LBW. Country, regional and global estimates. New York. UNICEF. 2004.
9. WHO global target 2025. To improve maternal, infant and young child nutrition. www.who.int/nutrition/topics/nutrition_global_targets_2025/en/ accessed 15 Jan. 2021
10. Alemu A, Abageda M, Assefe B, Melaku G. LBW: prevalence and associated factors among newborns at Hospitals in Kambata – Tembaro zone, southern Ethiopia 2018. The pan African Medical Journal 2019;34: 68.doi:10.11604/pamj.2019.34.68.18234
11. Blencowe H, Krusevec J, De – Onis M, Black RE, An X, Stevens GA, et al. National, regional and world-wide estimate of LBW in 2015, with trends from 2000. A systematic analysis. Lancet global health. 2019; 7(7): e 849 – e 860. Doi. 10.1016/s2214-109x (18)305 65-5
12. Yader H, Lee N. Maternal factors in predicting LBW babies. Med. J. Malaysia. 2013; 68:44-47
13. Shimelis G, Teshale F, Eskeziyaw A, Desta H, Gene F, Zeritu D et al. Factors associated with LBW among new born delivered at public health facilities of Nekemte town, west Ethiopia: a case control study. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2019;19(1):220
14. Ahmed S, Hassan K, Wakayo T. A health facility-based case control study on determinants of LBW in Dassie town, North east Ethiopia: the role of nutritional factors. Nutr. J. 2018;17(1):103. Doi:10.1186/s 12937-018-0409-2
15. Levya A, Frasera D, Katz M, Mazoro M, Sheiner E. Maternal anaemia during pregnancy is an independent risk factor for LBW and preterm delivery. European j. obste. Gynae. And repr. Biol. 2005; 122:182-6
16. De Maeyer E, Adiels – Tegman M. The prevalence of anaemia in the world. World health stat. Q. 1985;38(3):302-316
17. Demmouche A, Lazrag A, Moulessehoul S. Prevalence of anaemia in pregnant women during the last trimester: consequences for birth weight. European review for medical and pharmacological sciences. 2011;15(4):436-445



18. Mc Lean E, Cogswell M, Egli I, Wojdyla D, De Benoist B. Worldwide prevalence of anaemia. WHO vitamin and mineral nutrition information system. 1993-2005. Public health nutrition. 2009;12(4):444-454.
19. Milman N. Iron and pregnancy: A delicate balance. Ann. Haematol 2006; 85:559-65
20. Chandra S, Anil Kumar T. Anaemia: A brief over view regards the pregnant state. J women's health issues care. 2014; 3:1
21. Karim E, Mascie- Taylor CG. The association between birth weight, sociodemographic variables and maternal anthropometry in an urban sample from Dhaka, Bangladesh. Annals of human biology. 1997;24(5):387-401. Doi:10.1080/03014469700005152.
22. Khalidi N, Mc Grill K, Houweling H, Arnett K, Sheahon A. Glosing the gap in LBW births between indigenous and non-indigenous mothers. Queensland Brisbane. Health statistics unit 2012.
23. Alemayehu S, Damte A, Hailu T. Maternal factors associated with LBW in public hospital of Mekelle city, Ethiopia: a case control study. Halian journal of pediatrics. 2020; 46:124. Doi:10.1186/s 13052-020-00890-19
24. Thame M, Wilks RJ, Farlane-Anderson MC, Bennett FI, Forrester TE. Relationship between maternal nutritional status and infants' weight and body proportion at birth. European journal of clinical nutrition.1997;51:134-138
25. Nahar S, Mascie – Taylor CGN, Ara Begun H. Maternal anthropometry as a predictor of birth weight. Public health nutrition. 2007; 10(9); 965-970
26. Sinha B, Taneja S, Chwdhury R, Mazumder S, Rongsten-chandolaT, Upadhyay RP, Martins J, Bhandari N, Bhan MK. LBW infants born to short stature mothers are at additional risk of stunting and poor growth velocity: evidence from secondary data analysis. Maternal and child nutrition. 2018; 14(1): e 12504.doi:10.1111/mcn.12504
27. Jember D, Menji Z, Yitayaw Y. LBW and associated factors among newborn babies in health institution in Dessie, Amhara. Ethiopian journal of multidisciplinary health care. 2020; 13:1839-1848. Doi:10.2147/JMDH. S 285055



28. Regnaut TRH, Timesand S, Hay WW. Factors influencing fetal growth. *Neo reviews*. 2001;2(6): e119-e129.doi.10.1542/NEO.2-6-e119
29. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee AC, Waiswa P, Lalli M, Butta Z, Barros AJD, Christian P, Mathers C, Cousens SN. every newborn progress, priorities and potential beyond survival. *Lancet* 2014.12;384(9938):189-205
30. J Charan, T Biswas. How to calculate sample size for different study designs in medical research in medical research. *Indian journal of psychological medicine*. 2013;35(2):121-126.c/o1:10.4103/0253-7176.116232.
31. Hirve SS, Ganatra BR. Determinants of LBW: a community based prospective cohort study. *Indian pediatrics* 1994;31(10):1221-5
32. Alemu T, Umeffa M. Prevalence and predictors of “small size” babies in Ethiopia: in – depth analysis of Ethiopian demographic and health survey, 2011. *Ethiopian journal of health sciences* 2016;26(3): 203-50.doi:10.4314/ejhs.v26i3.7.
33. Adebowale SA, Fagbamigbe FA, Okareh TO, Lawal GO. Survival analysis of timing of first marriage among women of reproductive age in Nigeria: A regional difference. *African journal of reproductive health*. 2012;16(4):95-107
34. Li X, Zhang W, Lin J, Liu H, Yang Z, Teng Y. Preterm birth, LBW and small for gestational age among women with pre-eclampsia: Does maternal age matter? *Pregnancy hypertension*. 2018; 13:260-266
35. Block-Abraham DN, Adamovich D, Turan OM, Doyle LE, Blitzler MG, Baschat AA. Maternal blood pressure during pregnancy and risk of delivering small for gestational age neonate. *Hypertension in pregnancy*. 2016;35(3):350-360
36. Li N, Li Z, Ye R, Zhang L, Li H, Zhu Y, Li S, Yang N, Liu J, Ren A. Preconception blood pressure and risk of LBW and small for gestational age. *Hypertension*. 2016;68(4):873-879
37. Gaudineau A. Prevalence, risk factors, maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality of intra uterine growth restriction and small for gestational age. *J. Gynaecol. Obstet Biol. Reprod. (Paris)* 2013; 42(8):895-9102



Maternal risk factors affecting low birth weight, Ogbondah ISSN1597-4292

38. Yamaguchi K, Yamamoto M. Recent trends of mean age at marriage in Japan in comparison with other countries. *Journal of population problems* 1985; 174:69-75
39. Churchill D, Perry IJ, Beevers DG. Ambulatory blood pressure in pregnancy and fetal growth. *Lancet*. 1997. 4;349(9044):7-10
40. Steer PJ, Little MP, Kold-Jensen T, Chapple J, Elliot P. Maternal blood pressure, birth weight and perinatal mortality in first births: Prospective study. *BMJ* 2004;329(7478):