

# Bacterial Profile and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Nosocomial Wound Infection in a Teaching Hospital at Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria \*Onwuezobe IA, Offong EI, Moses AE

Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology.

\* Corresponding author: ifezobe@yahoo.com, ifeanyionwuezobe@uniuyo.edu.ng

#### **Abstract**

**Background**: Nosocomial wound infection has been implicated to occur globally. There are various types of wounds some of which may be peculiar to the environment, occupation and social life of the people. Postoperative wound infection is common in hospital and is spread directly from person to person or indirectly from equipment or personal care items. They are a significant burden to patients and public health and also a major cause of increased morbidity in hospitalized patients. The objectives of this study therefore were to determine the bacterial agents and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of organisms causing nosocomial wound infection as well as to assess the presence of ESBL and MRSA from wound isolates in the University of Uyo Teaching Hospital (UUTH), Uyo.

**Methodology**: A cross sectional study was carried out by collecting wound swab samples from 170 patients in different wards in the hospital.

**Result**: Staphylococcus aureus (28.7%) was mostly isolated whereas, Pseudomonas aeruginosa (22.9%) was the most isolated Gram negative bacterial agent from the wound sites. The antimicrobial susceptibility pattern revealed increased sensitivity of S. aureus to gentamicin (70%), while Pseudomonas aeruginosa was most sensitive to Imipenem (90%). More than 40% of the Staphylococcus aureus were methicillin resistant and among the Gram negative bacterial agents isolated, were extended beta lactamase producers. **Conclusion**: There is need therefore for routine surveillance and reporting of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus and extended spectrum beta lactamase producers from wound isolates by hospitals in Akwa Ibom state in particular and Nigeria by extension, as part of control measures for nosocomial infection.

## Keywords: Nosocomial, wound infection, bacteria, antibiotic profile

#### Introduction

Nosocomial infection is an infection occurring in a patient in a hospital or other healthcare facility which the infection was not present on at the time of admission including infection acquired in the hospital after discharge and also occupational infection acquired while working in the hospital.<sup>1</sup>

The more frequent nosocomial infections are that of surgical wounds, urinary tract and lower respiratory tract. A World Health Organization prevalence study and other studies have shown that these infections most commonly occur in intensive care units and in acute surgical and orthopedic wards.<sup>2,3</sup> The Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimate that more than 2 million patients die as a result of these infections.<sup>4</sup> This is of public health concern especially as surgical site infection alone account for about 24% of all nosocomial infections despite the preventive and control measures instituted by some hospital.<sup>2</sup> It is obvious then that infections acquired in the



hospital is a cause of morbidity and mortality which has added noticeably to economic burden.<sup>5</sup> The control of wound infections has become more challenging due to widespread bacterial resistance to antibiotics especially against infections by common bacterial agents such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Klebsiella species*.<sup>6</sup>

The record of the causative agents of wound infection in an environment such as ours, where such data is scarce or unavailable, will be helpful in the selection of empiric antimicrobial therapy and impact on the infection control measures by other health institutions as well.<sup>7</sup>

## **Study objectives**

To determine the bacterial agents and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of organisms causing nosocomial wound infection and to assess the presence of ESBL and MRSA from wound isolates in the University of Uyo Teaching Hospital (UUTH), Uyo.

## Methodology

## **Study Population**

The study subjects were patients admitted in various wards and units, in the University of Uyo Teaching Hospital (UUTH), Uyo, Nigeria.

### **Sample Collection**

One hundred and seventy wound samples were collected from patients on admission for up to 72 hours in the hospital. Samples were taken from various wound sites with sterile cotton wool swab sticks soaked in sterile normal saline, and transported to the laboratory immediately for further processing.

## **Isolation and Identification of Bacterial Organisms**

All swab samples were cultured on Blood agar and MacConkey agar and incubated at 37°C for 18-24hours. Pure colonies were subcultured onto nutrient agar slants and peptone water for biochemical tests which was carried out to identify the isolates using standard procedures.<sup>8</sup>

#### **Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**

Antimicrobial Susceptibility test was performed using the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method following the guidelines of the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSL). *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923) were used as reference strains. Double disk diffusion synergy test for the detection of extended spectrum Beta-lactamase (ESBL) was also performed. <sup>10</sup>

## **Data Analysis**

Student's T-test was used to analyse the difference between frequency of isolates and types of wound infection and equipment. P value < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

## **Ethical consideration**

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics and Research Committee of the University of Uyo Teaching Hospital, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.

#### **Results**

The various types of wound samples were analysed, with the number of samples from post operative wound sites being one of the highest (18.23%) as indicated in table 1. Of the 174 isolates, 99 (56.9%) were from male patients as shown in Table 2. The highest isolates were from the age group 61-70yr, 62 (35.6% while the lowest was from 11 20yr age groups (Table 3). The commonest bacterial isolate from wound infection of patients admitted in the hospital was Staphylococcus aureus (Table 4). Antibiotic susceptibility profile (Table 5) shows increased susceptibility to Gentamicin 35(70%) and Imipenem 36(90%) by Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeroginosa, respectively when compared with other antibiotics used. Escherichia coli (6 (3.4%)) and Klebsiella species (2 (1.14%)) were found to be ESBL producers while some Staphylococcus aureus isolates (22 (44%)) were MRSA.

Table 1: The various types of wound Infection sites

| Types of wound         | No. of specimen | Percentage |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Road traffic accidents | 20              | 11.7       |
| Bruised/cuts           | 7               | 4.11       |
| Post-operative         | 31              | 18.23      |
| Gun shots              | 13              | 7.64       |
| Acid/fire burn         | 12              | 7.05       |
| Genital ulcer          | 8               | 4.70       |
| Osteomyelitis          | 8               | 4.70       |
| Venous/arterial ulcer  | 2               | 1.17       |
| Leg cellulites         | 3               | 1.76       |
| Wound from abscess     | 10              | 5.88       |
| Pin site infection     | 5               | 2.94       |
| Malignant melanoma     | 2               | 1.17       |
| Others                 | 53              | 31.17      |



Table 2: Frequency of Microbial Isolates of Wound Infection from UUTH by Gender.

| Gender    | No. of isolates | Percentage |   |
|-----------|-----------------|------------|---|
| (N = 170) |                 |            |   |
|           |                 |            |   |
| Male      | 99              | 56.9       |   |
| Female    | 75              | 43.1       | • |
| Total     | 174             | 100        |   |

Table 3: Age Distribution and Isolates from Nosocomial Wound Infection

| Age group<br>(years) | Population studied | Frequency of isolates | Percentage |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| <1-10                | 12                 | 12                    | 6.9        |
| 11-20                | 7                  | 7                     | 4.0        |
| 21-30                | 14                 | 14                    | 8.0        |
| 31-40                | 28                 | 29                    | 16.7       |
| 41-50                | 15                 | 15                    | 8.6        |
| 51-60                | 16                 | 16                    | 9.2        |
| 61-70                | 59                 | 62                    | 35.6       |
| 71 & above           | 19                 | 19                    | 10.9       |
| Total                | 170                | 174                   | 100        |



Table 4: Frequency of Bacterial Isolates from Wound Infection

| Pathogen              | No. Isolated | Percentage |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|
| Staphylococcus aureus | 50           | 28.7       |
| Pseudomonas aerugino  | osa 40       | 22.9       |
| Esherichia coli       | 38           | 21.9       |
| Klebsiella species    | 26           | 14.9       |
| Proteus species       | 20           | 11.5       |



**TABLE 5:** Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Isolates

| Antimicrobial agent | Disc(μg)<br>content | S.     | aureus ( | %)     | Р. а     | eruginosa | (%)      |          | E. coli (%) |          | Pro    | teus sp. ( | (%)    | Kle      | bsiella sp. ( | [%)      |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|--------|------------|--------|----------|---------------|----------|
| ugent               | content             | R      | I        | S      | R        | I         | S        | R        | I           | S        | R      | I          | S      | R        | I             | S        |
| Azithromycin        | 15                  | 25(50) | 9(18)    | 16(32) | -        | -         | -        | -        | -           |          | _      | -          | -      | -        | -             | -        |
| Amoxicillin/clav.   | 20/10               | 35(70) | 4(62)    | 11(22) | -        | -         | -        | 25(65.7) | 1(2.6)      | 12(31.5) | 9(45)  | 1(5)       | 8(40)  | 13(50`)  | -             | 13(50)   |
| Sulphomethazole     | 23.7                | 30(60) | 4(8)     | 16(32) | -        | -         | -        | 23(60.5) | 6(15.7)     | 9(23.6)  | 8(40)  | 1(5)       | 11(55) | 7(26.9)  | 1(38.4)       | 18(69.2) |
| Ciprofloxacin       | 5                   | 18(36) | 6(12)    | 26(52) | 18(45)   | 5(12.5)   | 17(42.5) | 25(65.8) | 1(2.6)      | 12(31.5) | 5(2.5) | 1(5)       | 14(70) | 10(38.4) | -             | 16(80)   |
| Ceftriaxone         | 30                  | -      | -        | -      | 15(37.5) | 9(22.5)   | 16(40)   | 19(50)   | 5(13.2)     | 14(36.8) | 6(30)  | 5(25)      | 9(45)  | 8(30.7)  | 3(11.5)       | 15(75)   |
| Cefoxitin           | 30                  | 31(62) | -        | 19(38) |          |           |          |          |             |          |        |            |        |          |               |          |
| Gentamicin          | 10                  | 12(24) | 2(4)     | 35(70) | 14(35)   | 6(15)     | 20(50)   | 21(55.2) | 2(5.3)      | 15(39.5) | 3(15)  | 2(10)      | 15(75) | 10(38.4) | -             | 16(61.5) |
| Imipenem            | 10                  | -      | -        | -      | -        | 4(10)     | 36(90)   |          | <b>)</b> -  | -        | -      | -          | -      | -        | -             | -        |
| Carbenicillin       | 5                   | -      | -        | -      | 12(30)   | 2(5)      | 18(45)   |          | _           | -        | -      | -          | -      | -        | -             | -        |
| Ofloxacin           | 10                  | -      | -        | -      | 8(20)    | 10(25)    | 22(55)   | 15(39.4) | 3(7.8)      | 20(52.6) | 5(25)  | 3(15)      | 12(60) | 3(11.5)  | 1(3.8)        | 22(84.6) |
| Ceftazidime         | 30                  | -      | -        | -      | 22(55.2) | (5)       | 16(40)   | 11(28.9) | 6(15.7)     | 21(55.3) | -      | -          | -      | 8(30.7)  | 4(15.3)       | 14(53.8) |
| Cefotaxime          | 30                  | -      | -        | -      | 22(55)   | -         |          | 17(44.7) | 6(15.7)     | 15(39.4) | -      | -          | -      | 11(42.3) | 5(19.2)       | 10(38.4) |

Key

R = Resistant

I = Intermediate

S = Sensitive

Table 6: Frequency of ESBL and MRSA isolates from wound sites

| Isolates       | No. of org.     | No. positive (%) |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                | Tested          |                  |  |  |  |  |
| ESBL producers | E. coli (38)    | 6 (3.4%)         |  |  |  |  |
|                | Klebsiella (26) | 2 (1.14%)        |  |  |  |  |
| MRSA           | S. aureus (50)  | 22 (44%)         |  |  |  |  |

#### **Discussion**

Nosocomial infection has been known globally to be the cause of resistance to antibiotics which may result to increase morbidity and mortality in patients. In this study, a total of 170 wound samples were processed out of which 18.2% were postoperative wounds, while 11.7% were from road traffic accidents, 7.05% from acid/fire burn wounds, and 7.64% were from gunshots wounds among others. The various wound types revealed by this study, may be connected to the occupation and social life of the people in Uyo. For this same reason, they differ from the pattern of wound types seen in studies done in other parts of the world. In the pattern of wound types seen in studies done in other parts of the world.

Although there was no significant difference in both sexes as observed in other studies <sup>13,</sup> wound Infections were seen more in male patients (56.9%) than in females probably due to the fact that males are involved more in accident prone occupation and social life<sup>15</sup>. This notwithstanding, there were higher numbers of bacterial isolates from wounds of female patients (43.1%) than males. However, there were no deducible reasons for this from this study.

Noticeably too, are the higher numbers of older patients, 61-70 years (35.6%), admitted in the hospital with wound infection when compared to lower admissions recorded for the younger age groups, 31-40years (16.7%). This may be so because of the more prevalence of wound infections in the older age group as a result of reduced immunity which leads to longer period of healing and longer stay in the hospital when compared with the younger age group with probably better immunity and consequently shorter hospital admissions.



As revealed by this study, *Staphylococcus aureus* (28.7%) was the most common bacterial agent isolated from wound infections in this hospital and this compares favourably with result of studies carried out in Uganda.<sup>16, 17</sup>

The majority of the pathogens causing nosocomial wound infection were of the Gram negative class especially the Enterobacteriaceae which was also the case in some studies carried out in other parts of the world.<sup>11</sup>

The antibiotic susceptibility test for the bacterial isolates shows that *Staphylococcus aureus* is more sensitive to Gentamicin (70%) when compared to ciprofloxacin (52%). This may due to unrestricted use of antibiotics usually sold without valid prescription over the counter in Nigeria.

For probably the same reason, *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates showed increased resistance to amoxycillin/clavulanic acid (70%), an anti-beta lactamase agent which is expected to show more sensitivity to the bacterial agent. However, the study also showed that more than 40% of the *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from wounds were methicillin resistant which is implicated in nosocomial antibiotic resistance.<sup>17</sup>

There was a higher susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to imipenem (90%) when compared to records from other studies which had 76.9% and 88% respectively.<sup>18, 19</sup> This may be attributed to the fact that this drug is not only expensive, but is not readily available and hence not abused.<sup>20</sup> The increasing prevalence (12.5%) of extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producers by *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* species was also noticed in this study and is comparable to reports elsewhere.<sup>21, 22</sup>

#### **Conclusions**

Nosocomial wound infection may occur in all hospitals admitting patients, therefore routine surveillance should be put in place where it is yet to be done. This study showed that 40% of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from wound of patients admitted in the hospital were methicillin resistant. This calls for routine check of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus* and Extended Spectrum Beta-lactamase producers from wound isolates by all tertiary hospitals in Akwa Ibom state in particular and Nigeria by extension, especially where equipment and manpower are available, as a measure towards the control of nosocomial infection.



#### References

- 1. Bowler PG, Duerden BI, Armstrong DG. Wound Microbiology and Associated Approaches to Wound Management. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2001; 14: 244-269.
- 2. Coffin SE, Zaoutis TE. Infection Control, Hospital Epidemiology and Patient Safety. Clin Infect Dis. 2005; 19:647-665.
- 3. Dionigi R, Rovera F, Dionigi G, Imperatori A, Ferrari A, Dominion I. Risk Factors in Surgery. J. Chemo. 2001; 13:6-11.
- 4. Klevens RM, Edward J R, Richards C. Estimating Health Care Associated Infections and Deaths in U.S. Hosp Pub Health Rep. 2007; 122(2): 160-6.
- 5. Samuel SO, Kayode OO, Musa OI, Nwigwe GC, Aboderin AO, Salami TAT, et. al. Nosocomal infections and the challenges of control in development countries. African J Clin Exp Microbiol. 2010; 11 (2):102-110.
- 6. Iroha IR, Amadi ES, Orji JO, Esimone CO. In Vitro Evaluation of the Activity of Colloidal Silver Concentrate Against Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolated from Postoperative Wound Infection. Sci Res Essay. 2008; 3(5): 209-211.
- 7. Oguntibeju OO, Nwobu RAU. Occurrence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Post Operative Wound Infection. Pakistan J Med Serv. 2004; 20(30), 187-191.
- 8. Cheesbrough M. Morphology and Characterization of Esherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus. District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries Part II, Hong Kong: Sheck Wah Tong Printing Press Limited. 2004; pp. 63-70.
- 9. CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests. CLSI Approved Standard M100-S17. Wayne, P. A.: Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute 2006; pp.72-81.
- 10. CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test. CLSI Approved Standard M100-S17. Wayne, P. A.: Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. 2011; pp.72-81.
- Horan TC, Gaynes RP. Surveillance of Nosocomial Infections. In: Hospital Epidemiology and Infection Control 3rd ed., Mayhall C.G (ed). Philadelphia Lippincourtt.: Williams and Wilkins. 2004; pp. 1659-1702.



- 12. Ayodele MBO, Abbey SD, Nwokah EG, Obunge OK, Omakwele GCS. Microbial Profile of Wound Infections In Nigerian Teaching Hospital. Sahel Med J. 2010; 13 (1): 5-11.
- 13. Egbe CA, Omoregie R, Igbarumah IO, Onemu S. Microbiology of wound infections and its associated risk factors among patients of a Tertiary hospital in Benin City, Nigeria. JRHS 2011; 11(2):109 113.
- 14. Edelsberg J, Taneja C, Zervos M, Haque N, Moore C, Reyes K, et al. Trends in US hospital admissions for skin and soft tissue infections. Emerg Infect Dis 2009; 15:1516-1518.
- 15. Ranjan K, Prabhat RN, Satish KB, Arora DR. Prevalence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Post-Operative Wound Infection in a Referral Hospital in Haryana. India J Hospital Infec. 2011; 3(2):129.
- 16. Buwembo, KBM. Post Operative Wound Infections. Kampala Makerere University 1990; pp. 1-45.
- 17. Anguzu JR, Olila D. Drug Sensitivity Patterns of Bacterial Isolates from Septic Post-operative Wounds in a Regional Referral Hospital in Uganda. J African Health Sci. 2007: 7(3):148-154.
- 18. Ranjan K, Prabhat RN, Satish KB, Arora DR. Prevalence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Post-Operative Wound Infection in a Referral Hospital in Haryana, India. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2011; 3(2):129.
- 19. Navaneeth KY, Pooja S, Ankur O, Shruti P, Singh RK. Next Generation Sequencing. Potential and Application in Drug Discovery. Sci World J. 2013; 7:437 438.
- 20. WHO. Basic Laboratory Procedure in Clinical Bacteriology. 1991; pp. 62-64.
- 21. Ramesh N, Sumath CS, Balasubramanian VK, Palaniappan KR, Kannan VR. Urinary Tract Infection and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of ESBL Producing Clinical Isolates. Adv Bio Res. 2008; 2(5-6): 78-82.
- 22. Ahmed MI. Prevalence of Nosocomial Wound Infection Among Postoperative Patients and Antibiotics Patterns at Teaching Hospital in Sudan. Am J Med Sci. 2012; 4(1): 29–34.